HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information						34-48-18.00 =	087-22-54.00
Alabama [01] Lawrence County [079]		Unknown [00000]	ON WHEELER DAM		34.805000	= -87.381667	
1014002450 Highway agency district: 2		Owner Tennessee Valle	Owner Tennessee Valley Authority [67] Maintenance responsibility		ity Tennessee Valley	Authority [67]	
Route 101	SR 10	1	Toll On fre	e road [3] Fe	eatures intersected TENN	ESSEE RIVER	
Design - Steel [3] main		Design - approach	[3]	Kilometerpoint 3942 Year built 1937	2.9 km = 2444.6 mi Year reconstructed	1962	
2 Truss - Thru [10] 143 Strin		143 String	er/Multi-beam or girder [02]	Skew angle 0	Structure Flared		
				Historical significance	Historical significan	ce is not determinable at th	is time. [4]
Total length 1981.2 m = 6500.3 ft Length of maximum span 53.3 m = 174.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 7.8 m = 25.6 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 6.1 m = 20.0 ft							
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 6 m = 19.7 ft			Curb or sidewalk wi	dth - left $0.9 \text{ m} = 3.0$	ft Curb o	or sidewalk width - right	0.2 m = 0.7 ft
Deck structure type	Co	oncrete Cast-in-Plac	ce [1]				
Type of wearing surfa	ce						
Deck protection							
Type of membrane/wearing surface							
Weight Limits							
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inve	entory rating 23.9 metric	c ton = 26.3 tons	
4.2 km = 2.6 mi Method to determine operating rating			Load Factor(LF) [1]	Ope	erating rating 40 metric t	ton = 44.0 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]			Desi	ign Load M 18 / H 20 [4]			

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 5520 Average daily tr	uck traffi 10 % Year 2017 Future average daily traff	fic 9000 Year 2037					
Road classification Minor Arterial (Rural) [06]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 7.9 m = 25.9 ft					
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median					
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation contro	Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]					
Navigation vertical clearance 17.9 m = 58.7 ft Navigation horizontal clearance 33.5 m = 109.9 ft							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	Minimum vertical cl	learance over bridge roadway 4.52 m = 14.8 ft					
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right $0 = N/A$	Minimum lateral unde	erclearance on left 0 = N/A					
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]						
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 50000000 Roadway	/ improvement cost 2000000					
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 1981.2 m = 6500.3 ft	Total project cost 60000000					
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state					
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency					
Structure status Open, no re	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]		
Condition ratings - superstructure Satisfactory [6]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]		
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]		
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry			
Scour	Bridge foundation	ns determined to be stable for assesse	ed or calculated scour condition. [5]		
Channel and channel protection	There are no noti	ceable or noteworthy deficiencies whi	ich affect the condition of the channel. [9]		
Appraisal ratings - water adequa	Equal to present	desirable criteria [8]	Status evaluation Functionally obsolete [2]		
Pier or abutment protection	Navigation protect	ction not required [1]	Sufficiency rating 45.9		
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culve	rt. [N]			
Traffic safety features - railings	I	npected feature meets currently acce	ptable standards. [1]		
Traffic safety features - transition	าร				
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail					
Traffic safety features - approac	h guardrail ends				
Inspection date May 2017 [0517]		gnated inspection frequency 24	Months		
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ction date		
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins	spection date May 2017 [0517]		
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date		