HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation																
Arkansas	[05]	Pulas	Pulaski County [119]				Unknown [39020] 1.2 MI S			JCT I-40					34-44-48.00 = 3 092-15-48.00 = -9		
2768			Highway	agency	/ district: 6		Owner	State Highway A	Agency [01]			Maintenan	nce respo	onsibility	State Highway I	Agency [01]	
Route 30	0			I 30-SE	EC 23			Toll On fre	e road [3]		Fea	tures inters	sected	SH100 SH	10 RR ARK RIV		
Design - main	Steel contir	nuous	[4]		Design - approach	Steel [3]]		Kilometer Year built	ooint 1958	22592	2 km = 140 Year	007.0 mi reconstr	ructed			
8	Girder and	floorbe	eam syste	em [03]	23	Stringer	'/Multi-b∈	eam or girder [02]	Skew ang	le 0		Structure	e Flared	Yes, f	lared [1]		
								Historical significance		nce	Bridge is not eligib		eligible for	ible for the NRHP. [5]			
Total length 926.6 m = 3040.2 ft Length of maximum span 64 m = 210.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 30.5 m = 100.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 29 m = 95.1 ft																	
Inventory	Route, Total	l Horiz	ontal Clea	arance	13.1 m = 4	3.0 ft	Cı	urb or sidewalk w	idth - left	0 m = 0	0.0 ft			Curb or sid	lewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft	
Deck struc	cture type			Co	ncrete Cast	-in-Place	[1]										
Type of we	earing surfa	ce		Мс	onolithic Con	crete (co	oncurren	ly placed with str	uctural decl	k) [1]							
Deck prote	ection																
Type of m	embrane/we	earing :	surface														
Weight Li	mits																
31	detour length	n M	lethod to d	determir	ne inventory	rating	Loa	d Factor(LF) [1]			Inven	tory rating	32.7	metric ton	= 36.0 tons		
1.6 km =	1.0 mi	M	lethod to d	determir	ne operating	rating	Loa	d Factor(LF) [1]			Opera	ating rating	54.4	metric ton	= 59.8 tons		
Bridge posting Equal to or above leg				al loads [5]			Design Load MS 18+Mod / HS 20+Mod [6]										

Functional Details											
Average Daily Traffic 56000 Average daily truck	k traffi 1 % Year 2014 Futu	ure average daily traffic 150355	Year 2028								
Road classification	ach roadway width 3.7 m = 12.1 ft										
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way tra	affic [2]	Bridge median Closed median with non-mountable barr								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]											
Type of service under bridge Highway-waterway-railroa	ad [8] Lanes under structure 17	Navigation control Navigation	Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]								
Navigation vertical clearance 18.2 m = 59.7 ft Navigation horizontal clearance 54.8 m = 179.8 ft											
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge	e 0 m = 0.0 ft	Minimum vertical clearance over b	ridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]											
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 1.3 m = 4.3 ft	eft 1.9 m = 6.2 ft										
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 4.37 m = 14.3 ft Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]											
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]											
Denois and Denlessment Diago											
Repair and Replacement Plans											
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contra	act [1]									
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 0	Roadway improvement of	cost 0								
	Length of structure improvement 92	26.6 m = 3040.2 ft Total project	cost 13117000								
,	Year of improvement cost estimate										
1	Border bridge - state	Border bridge	- percent responsibility of other state								
[Border bridge - structure number										

Inspection and Suffici	ciency										
Structure status Op	Open, no rest	triction [A]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - sup	perstructure	Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings - oadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]						
Condition ratings - sub	bstructure	Poor [4]		Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Better tha						
Condition ratings - dec	ck	Fair [5]									
Scour		Bridge four	dations determined to	be stable for assesse	ed or calculat	ed scour condition. [5]					
Channel and channel p	protection		ction is in need of mino or channel have mino		rol devices a	nd embankment protec	ction have a little minor	r damage.			
Appraisal ratings - water	ter adequacy	y Equal to pr	esent desirable criteria	a [8]		Status evaluation	uation Structurally deficient [1]				
Pier or abutment protect	ection	In place an	d functioning [2]			Sufficiency rating 55					
Culverts Not applical	able. Used if	f structure is not a	culvert. [N]		,						
Traffic safety features	s - railings		Inpected feature	ure meets currently acceptable standards. [1]				,			
Traffic safety features	s - transitions	S	Inpected feature	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Traffic safety features	s - approach	guardrail	Inpected feature	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends Inpected				ected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Inspection date O	October 2014	[1014]	Designated inspection	on frequency 24	N	lonths					
Underwater inspectio	on l	Jnknown [Y36]		Underwater inspec	ction date	October 2013 [10	013]				
Fracture critical inspe	ection	Every year [Y12]		Fracture critical ins	spection date	October 2014 [10	014]				
Other special inspect	ction E	Every year [Y12]		Other special inspe	ection date	October 2014 [1014]					