## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information						
Arkansas [05] Newton County [101]		Unknown [55480]	5.18 MI S BOONE CO	) LN 36-	03-42.00 = 3 093-08-18.00 = -9	
1689	Highway age	ncy district: 9	Owner State Highway	Agency [01]	Maintenance responsibility State H	Highway Agency [01]
Route 7	SH	7	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	eatures intersected BUFFALO RIVER	
Design - Steel continuain  Truss - The		approach	ncrete [1] e beam [04]	Kilometerpoint 32 Year built 1931 Skew angle 0	42.1 km = 2010.1 mi  Year reconstructed  Structure Flared	
				Historical significance		
Total length 114.3 n	n = 375.0 ft	ength of maximum	span 48.8 m = 160.1 ft	Deck width, out-to-o	ut 6.5 m = 21.3 ft Bridge roadway wid	th, curb-to-curb $6.1 \text{ m} = 20.0 \text{ ft}$
Inventory Route, Total	l Horizontal Clearand	6.4  m = 21.0  ft	Curb or sidewalk w	width - left $0.2 \text{ m} = 0.2$	7 ft Curb or sidewalk wid	th - right 0.2 m = 0.7 ft
Deck structure type		Concrete Cast-in-F	lace [1]			
Type of wearing surfa	ce	Bituminous [6]				
Deck protection						
Type of membrane/w	earing surface					
Weight Limits						
Bypass, detour lengt	h Method to deter	mine inventory ration	ng Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inv	rentory rating 20.9 metric ton = 23.0 tor	ns
3.2 km = 2.0 mi	Method to deter	mine operating rati	ng Load Factor(LF) [1]	Op	erating rating 31.8 metric ton = 35.0 tor	ns
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above	e legal loads [5]	De	sign Load M 13.5 / H 15 [2]	

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 2500 Average daily tr	uck traffi 1 % Year 2014 Futu	ure average daily traffic 2	2741 Year 202	28				
Road classification Minor Arterial (Rural) [06]	Lanes on structure 2		Approach roadway wid	8.5 m = 27.9 ft				
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way tra	iffic [2]	Bridge mediar					
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5] Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizonta	nl clearance 0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	dge 0 m = 0.0 ft	Minimum vertical clearance	ce over bridge roadway	4.77 m = 15.7 ft				
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlin	nited	Minimum lateral undercleara	ince on left 0 = N/A					
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical unde	erclearance reference featur	e Feature not a highwa	y or railroad [N]				
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contra	act [1]						
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 0	Roadway impro	vement cost 15600	0				
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 12	25.9 m = 413.1 ft Tota	l project cost 10180	00				
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state	Borde	r bridge - percent respon	sibility of other state				
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]  Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]  Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings - superstructure	Serious [3]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment					
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -					
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry					
Scour	Bridge foundations determined	d to be stable for the asse	ssed or calculated	d scour condition	n. [8]		
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is in need of n Banks and/or channel have mi	ninor repairs. River contrinor amounts of drift. [7]	ol devices and em	nbankment prote	ction have a little minor dar	nage.	
Appraisal ratings - water adequad	ey Equal to present desirable crit	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]			Status evaluation Structurally deficient [1]		
Pier or abutment protection	None present but re-evaluatio	None present but re-evaluation suggested [5]		fficiency rating 7.3			
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings							
Traffic safety features - transition							
Traffic safety features - approac							
Traffic safety features - approac							
Inspection date September 2			Months	S			
Underwater inspection Not needed [N]		Underwater inspec					
·	Every year [Y12]	Fracture critical ins	' '	September 2014 [0914]			
Other special inspection	Every year [Y12]	Other special inspe	September 2014 [0914]		1 [0914]		