HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							39-12-38.11 =	095-21-08.17
Kansas [20] Jefferson County [087]			Unknown [00000] 0.3S 2.2W OF OSKALOOSA				39.210586	= -95.352269
000441031804083	Highway agency	y district: 1	Owner County Highway	Agency [02]	Maintenance respo	onsibility	County Highway Aç	jency [02]
Route 90	90TH	OS 49	Toll On fre	e road [3] Fe	eatures intersected	BIG SLOUGH	CREEK	
Design - Steel [3] main		Design - approach		Kilometerpoint 0 km Year built 1905	n = 0.0 mi Year reconstr	ructed N/A [00	000]	
Truss - Thr	u [10]	2 String	er/Multi-beam or girder [02]	Skew angle 0	Structure Flared			
				Historical significance	Bridge is poss	sibly eligible fo	r the NRHP. [3]	
Total length 40.2 m	= 131.9 ft Leng	gth of maximum spa	25.6 m = 84.0 ft	Deck width, out-to-ou	t 4.5 m = 14.8 ft	Bridge roadwa	ay width, curb-to-cu	3.9 m = 12.8 ft
Inventory Route, Tota	Horizontal Clearance	4.2 m = 13.8 ft	Curb or sidewalk wi	idth - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0 \text{ ft}$		Curb or sidewa	alk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type	Wo	ood or Timber [8]						
Type of wearing surfa	ce Wo	ood or Timber [7]						
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/we	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length	Method to determine	ne inventory rating	Allowable Stress(AS)) [2] Inve	entory rating 0 me	etric ton = 0.0 t	ions	
0.5 km = 0.3 mi	Method to determine	ne operating rating	Allowable Stress(AS)) [2] Ope	erating rating 0 me	etric ton = 0.0 t	ons	
	Bridge posting			Des	ign Load			

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 25 Average daily tr	uck traffi % Year 2014 Future average daily traffic 30 Year 2032							
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 3.7 m = 12.1 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 375000 Roadway improvement cost 100000							
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 52.4 m = 171.9 ft Total project cost 480000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2012							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Suffici	iency									
Structure status Br	ridge closed	to all traffic [K]		Appraisal ratings - structural						
Condition ratings - sup	perstructure	Imminent Failure	[1]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets mi	nimum tolerable limits				
Condition ratings - substructure Fair		Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - deck Satis		Satisfactory [6]		deck geometry						
Scour		Bridge foun	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]							
Channel and channel protection			Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy		y Equal to pr	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]			Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]			
Pier or abutment protection		Navigation	Navigation protection not required [1]			Sufficiency rating	21.9	J		
Culverts Not applica	able. Used i	f structure is not a	culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings										
Traffic safety features - transitions										
Traffic safety features	s - approach	guardrail								
Traffic safety features	s - approach	guardrail ends								
Inspection date November 2014 [1114] Designated insp				ection frequency 12	2	Months				
·		Not needed [N]		Underwater inspe	ection date					
·		Not needed [N]				,				
Other special inspection Not no		Not needed [N]	eeded [N] Other special inspection date							