## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

## 2015 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							38-33-57.05 =	097-40-21.41
Kansas [20]	McPherson County [1	13]	Unknown [00000]	S. EDGE OF LINDSBO	ORG		38.565847	= -97.672614
000590783704980Highway agency district:2		Owner County Highway Agency [02] Mainte		Maintenance	responsibility	County Highway Agency [02]		
Route 0	SYEN	SK RD.	Toll On free	e road [3] Fe	eatures intersec	ted SMOKY HILL	RIVER	
Design - Steel [3] main 1 Truss - Thr	u [10]	Design - Steel approach 5 String	[3] er/Multi-beam or girder [02]	Kilometerpoint0 krYear built1914Skew angle0	m = 0.0 mi Year rec Structure FI	onstructed 1989 ared		
				Historical significance	Historica	I significance is no	ot determinable at the	is time. [4]
Total length 78.2 m	= 256.6 ft Len	gth of maximum spa	an 36.6 m = 120.1 ft	Deck width, out-to-ou	ut 5.5 m = 18.0 f	ft Bridge roadv	vay width, curb-to-cu	rb 5.2 m = 17.1 ft
Inventory Route, Total	Horizontal Clearance	5.1 m = 16.7 ft	Curb or sidewalk wi	dth - left 0.2 m = 0.7	7 ft	Curb or sidew	/alk width - right	0.2 m = 0.7 ft
Deck structure type	Co	oncrete Cast-in-Plac	ce [1]					
Type of wearing surface	ce							
Deck protection	E	boxy Coated Reinfo	rcing [1]					
Type of membrane/we	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length	<sup>n</sup> Method to determi	ine inventory rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inve	entory rating	0 metric ton = 0.0	tons	
0.3 km = 0.2 mi	Method to determi	ine operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Оре	erating rating	0 metric ton = 0.0	tons	
Bridge posting			Des	sign Load				

Functional Details		
Average Daily Traffic 250 Average daily traffic	uck traffi 0 % Year 2005 Future average daily traffic 305 Year 2030	
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure   2   Approach roadway width	n 7.3 m = 24.0 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median	
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]	
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure   0   Navigation control	
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A	
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	dge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway	4.79 m = 15.7 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	eature not a highway or railroad [N]	
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlin	nited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A	
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway	or railroad [N]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]		
Repair and Replacement Plans		
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]	
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost    337000    Roadway improvement cost    34000	
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement78 m = 255.9 ftTotal project cost505000	
	Year of improvement cost estimate	
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsi	ibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number	

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Bridge close	d to all traffic [K]	Appraisal ratings - structural						
Condition ratings - superstructure Imminent Failure [1]		Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable I	imits to be left in place as is [4]				
Condition ratings - substructure Imminent Failure [1]		roadway alignment						
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]						
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	cy Equal to present minimum cri	iteria [6]	Status evaluatio	on Structurally deficient [1]				
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency ratir	ig 19.4				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	IS							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends							
Inspection date August 2014	[0814] Designated inspe	ection frequency 12	Months					
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	tion date					
Fracture critical inspection Every two years [Y24]		Fracture critical ins	pection date August 201	4 [0814]				
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	ction date					