The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

| Basic Information | | | | | | | 40-30-33 = | 074-47-06 = - |
|--|-----------------------|---|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|---------------|---------------|
| New Jersey [34] | Somerset County [035] | | Hillsborough [31890] NORTH OF THRI | | EE BRIDGES RD | | 40.509167 | 74.785000 |
| 18A0605 Highway agency district 2 | | | Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility | | | County Highway A | gency [02] | |
| Route 0 HIGGINSVILLE ROAD | | | Toll On fre | e road [3] | Features intersed | sted S.BRANCH | RARITAN RIVER | |
| Design - main Steel [3] Design - approach 1 Truss - Thru [10] 0 Other | | Skew angle 0 Structure Flared | | | | | | |
| Total length 32.3 m = 106.0 ft Length of maximum span 31.4 m = 103.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.9 m = 16.1 ft Bridge roadway Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.6 m = 15.1 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk | | | | | | | | 0 m = 0.0 ft |
| Deck structure type Type of wearing surface Deck protection Wood or Timber [8] Bituminous [6] | | | | | | | | |
| Type of membrane/wearing surface Preformed Fabric [2] | | | | | | | | |
| Weight Limits | | | | | | | | |
| Bypass, detour length 0.3 km = 0.2 mi Method to determine inventory rating Method to determine operating rating | | Allowable Stress(AS) [2] Allowable Stress(AS) [2] | | Inventory rating Operating rating | 20 metric ton = 27.2 metric ton = | | | |
| Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads | | | egal loads [5] | | Design Load M 1 | 3.5 / H 15 [2] | | |

| Functional Details | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Average Daily Traffic 620 Average daily tr | uck traffi 1 % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 830 Year 2029 | | | | | | | |
| Road classification Local (Urban) [19] | Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 6.7 m = 22.0 ft | | | | | | | |
| Type of service on bridge Highway [1] | Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median | | | | | | | |
| Parallel structure designation No parallel structure | e exists. [N] | | | | | | | |
| Type of service under bridge Waterway [5] | Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control | | | | | | | |
| Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A | Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A | | | | | | | |
| Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid | Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 3.41 m = 11.2 ft | | | | | | | |
| Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N] | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A | Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N] | | | | | | | |
| Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N] | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Repair and Replacement Plans | | | | | | | | |
| Type of work to be performed | Work done by Work to be done by contract [1] | | | | | | | |
| Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial | Bridge improvement cost 1656000 Roadway improvement cost 40000 | | | | | | | |
| bridge roadway geometry. [31] | Length of structure improvement 42.1 m = 138.1 ft Total project cost 2162000 | | | | | | | |
| | Year of improvement cost estimate 2009 | | | | | | | |
| | Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state | | | | | | | |
| | Border bridge - structure number | | | | | | | |

| Inspection and Sufficiency | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Structure status Posted for | load [P] | Appraisal ratings - structural | Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5] | | | | | |
| Condition ratings - superstructur Good [7] | | Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment | Equal to present minimum criteria [6] | | | | | |
| Condition ratings - substructure | Good [7] | Appraisal ratings - | Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2] | | | | | |
| Condition ratings - deck | Good [7] | deck geometry | | | | | | |
| Scour | Bridge foundations deterr | nined to be stable for the ass | ssessed or calculated scour condition. [8] | | | | | |
| Channel and channel protection | Bank protection is being echannel. [5] | Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5] | | | | | | |
| Appraisal ratings - water adequ | Better than present minin | num criteria [7] | Status evaluation Functionally obsolete [2] | | | | | |
| Pier or abutment protection | | | Sufficiency rating 57.6 | | | | | |
| Culverts Not applicable. Use | d if structure is not a culvert. [N] | | | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features - railings | | | | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features - transition | ons | | | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features - approa | ch guardrail Inpected | d feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1] | | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features - approa | ch guardrail ends Inpected | feature meets currently acce | ceptable standards. [1] | | | | | |
| Inspection date November 2009 [1109] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months | | | | | | | | |
| Underwater inspection | Not needed [N] | Underwater inspe | ection date | | | | | |
| Fracture critical inspection | Every two years [Y24] | Fracture critical in | inspection date November 2009 [1109] | | | | | |
| Other special inspection | Not needed [N] | Other special insp | spection date | | | | | |