## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation							40-27-11.51 =	075-01-28.15	
New Jersey [34]		Hunterdon County [019]		Kingtown [37050]	4 MILES NORTH OF	4 MILES NORTH OF NJ 29			= -75.024486	
100K087		Highway agency district 2		Owner County Hig	Owner County Highway Agency [02]		Maintenance responsibility		County Highway Agency [02]	
Route 0 MILLTOWN ROAD			Toll	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected LOCKATON			NG CREEK			
Design - main  Steel [3] Design - approach  Truss - Thru [10] 0 Othe		ner [00]	[00] Skew angle 6 Structure Flared		lared					
Historical significance  Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5]  Total length  16.5 m = 54.1 ft  Length of maximum span 15.9 m = 52.2 ft  Deck width, out-to-out 4.5 m = 14.8 ft  Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.3 m = 14.1 ft										
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.3 m = 14.1 ft Curb or side					alk width - left 0 m = 0.0	ft	Curb or sid	ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft	
Deck struc	cture type	C	Concrete Cast-in-F	Place [1]						
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]										
Deck protection										
Type of m	embrane/we	aring surface								
Weight Li	imits									
			nine inventory ratio	ng Load Factor(LF	) [1] In	ventory rating	20.9 metric ton	= 23.0 tons		
0.3 km = 0.2 mi  Method to determine operating rational method represents the determine operation			ng Load Factor(LF	) [1] O	perating rating 35.4 metric ton = 38.9 tons					
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]					D	esign Load				

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 85 Average daily t	ruck traffi 0 % Year 2013 Future average daily traffic 104 Year 2033						
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 4 m = 13.1 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3]  Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	re exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift br	idge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A						
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by						
	Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost						
	Length of structure improvement 0 m = 0.0 ft Total project cost						
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - superstructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment  Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]		Equal to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundations determine required. [4]	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour conditions; field review indicates action is required. [4]						
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being erod channel. [5]	ded. River control devices	and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the					
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Superior to present desirable	e criteria [9]	Status evaluation					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 51.3					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	S							
Traffic safety features - approach								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends							
Inspection date June 2013 [0			Months					
·	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec						
	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date					