HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							40 20 40 45	074 EE 17 20
New Jersey [34] Hunterdon County [019]		Raritan [61920] 1 MILE NORTH (OF NJ 12		40-30-49.45 = 40.513736	074-55-17.30 = -74.921472	
100Q160 Highway agency district 2		Owner County Highway Agency [02]		Maintenance	Maintenance responsibility County Highwa		gency [02]	
Route 0	RAk	KE FACTORY ROAD	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	Features intersec	tted TRIB WICK	ECHEOKE CREEK	
Design - Steel [3] main Truss - Thru	[10]	Design - approach 0 Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint C Year built 1930 Skew angle 0	Year red Structure F	constructed 1994	1	
				Historical significand	ce Bridge i	s not eligible for the	ne NRHP. [5]	
Total length 16.2 m = 53.2 ft Length of maximum span 15.6 m = 51.2 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.6 m = 15.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.5 m = 14.8 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.5 m = 14.8 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left (0 ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type		Corrugated Steel [6]						
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]								
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wea	aring surface	Preformed Fabric [2]						
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length	Method to deter	mine inventory rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]		nventory rating	23.6 metric ton =	= 26.0 tons	
0.5 km = 0.3 mi	Method to deter	mine operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]		Operating rating 39.9 metric ton =		= 43.9 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]				Design Load				

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 374 Average daily tr	uck traffi 3 % Year 2013 Future average daily traffic 499 Year 2033						
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 7 m = 23.0 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]						
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 540000 Roadway improvement cost 30000						
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 16.2 m = 53.2 ft Total project cost 780000						
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2009						
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	Open, no restriction [A]		Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5] Better than present minimum criteria [7]						
Condition ratings - superstructure	Condition ratings - superstructure Fair [5]								
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]								
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is in need of Banks and/or channel have m		rol devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage.						
Appraisal ratings - water adequace	Better than present minimum	criteria [7]	Status evaluation Functionally obsolete [2]						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 53.4						
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	S								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends								
Inspection date June 2013 [0	Designated inspe	ection frequency 24	Months						
•	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec							
- -	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins							
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date						