## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							44-10-27.60 =	124-06-55.12
Oregon [41]	Lane County [0	039]	Unknown [00000]	07.4 MI S LINC-LANE	CO LN		44.174333	= -124.115311
01180 009 17502	Highway	agency district 5	Owner State Highway	Agency [01]	Maintenance	responsibility	State Highway Age	ncy [01]
Route 101		US101 (HWY 9)	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	eatures intersec	ted BIG CREEK		
Design - Concrete [main Arch - Thru		approach	rete continuous [2]	Kilometerpoint 281 Year built 1931	166.7 km = 1746 Year rec	3.4 mi onstructed 1997		
Alcti - Itili	J [12]	3	icani (04)	Skew angle 0 Historical significance	Structure FI	on the NRHP. [1	]	
Total length 72.9 m	= 239.2 ft	Length of maximum sp	an 36.6 m = 120.1 ft	Deck width, out-to-ou	ut 12 m = 39.4 f	Bridge road	way width, curb-to-cu	8.2 m = 26.9 ft
Inventory Route, Tota	ıl Horizontal Clea	erance 8.2 m = 26.9 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	vidth - left 1.5 m = 4.9	9 ft	Curb or side	walk width - right	1.5 m = 4.9 ft
Deck structure type		Concrete Cast-in-Pla	ce [1]					
Type of wearing surfa	ice	Latex Concrete or sir	milar additive [3]					
Deck protection		Cathodic Protected [	4]					
Type of membrane/w	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour lengt	h Method to d	letermine inventory rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inve	entory rating	27.2 metric ton =	29.9 tons	
13.1 km = 8.1 mi	Method to d	letermine operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Оре	erating rating	45.4 metric ton =	49.9 tons	
	Bridge posti	ing Equal to or above I	egal loads [5]	Des	sign Load M 1	3.5 / H 15 [2]		

Functional Details											
Average Daily Traffic 3600 Average daily to	ruck traffi 13 % Year 2014 Future average daily traffic 3500 Year 2033										
Road classification	) [02] Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 8.2 m = 26.9 ft										
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median										
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]											
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control										
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A											
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  6.1 m = 20.0 ft											
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]											
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A  Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A											
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]											
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]											
Repair and Replacement Plans											
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]										
Widening of existing bridge or other major structure without deck rehabilitation or replacement [33]	Bridge improvement cost 766000 Roadway improvement cost 77000										
without deek renabilitation of replacement [55]	Length of structure improvement 73 m = 239.5 ft Total project cost 1226000										
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2011										
	Border bridge - state  Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state										
	Border bridge - structure number										

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Open, no restriction [A]  Condition ratings - superstructure Satisfactory [6]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]  Equal to present desirable criteria [8]				
		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment					
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]				
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry					
Scour	Bridge is scour critic	cal; bridge foundations determined to	o be unstable. [3]				
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is b channel. [5]	eing eroded. River control devices	and/or embankment have major	damage. Trees and rush restrict the			
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy	Equal to present de	sirable criteria [8]	Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]			
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	53.8			
	f structure is not a culvert.						
Traffic safety features - railings		ected feature meets currently accep	ure meets currently acceptable standards. [1]				
Traffic safety features - transitions		acted feature mosts currently accor	stable standards [1]				
Traffic safety features - approach Traffic safety features - approach		Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Inspection date July 2015 [07		ated inspection frequency 24	Months				
	Unknown [N00]	Underwater inspec					
	Unknown [N00]	Fracture critical ins					
Tractare critical inspection			-				