HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							43-21-57.53 =	124-09-10.84
Oregon [41] Coos County [011]			Unknown [00000] 01.5 MI E EASTSIDE ECL				43.365981	= -124.153011
07176 241 00373 Highway agency district 7			Owner State Highway A	Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility			State Highway Age	ncy [01]
Route 241 HWY 241			Toll On fre	e road [3]	eatures intersecte	d COOS RIVI	ER (CHANDLER)	
Design - Steel [3] main		Design - Steel approach	[3]	Kilometerpoint 600 Year built 1952).3 km = 372.2 mi	nstructed N/A	[0000]	
Movable - L	ift [15]	14 Truss	- Thru [10]	Skew angle 0	Structure Flan		[occol	
				Historical significance	Bridge is p	ossibly eligible	e for the NRHP. [3]	
Total length 294.3 m = 965.6 ft Length of maximum span 61 m = 200.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 9.1 m = 29.9 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 7.9 m = 25.9 ft								urb 7.9 m = 25.9 ft
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 7.9 m = 25.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width			idth - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0 \text{ f}$	Ì	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft	
Deck structure type								
Type of wearing surface								
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/we	aring surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inve	Inventory rating 20.9 metric ton = 23.0 tons			
3.1 km = 1.9 mi Method to determine operating rating Load Factor(LF) [1]				Ope	erating rating 3	4.5 metric ton	= 38.0 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]					sign Load MS 1	3.5 / HS 15 [3]		

Functional Details	
Average Daily Traffic 1000 Average daily to	ruck traffi 16 % Year 2014 Future average daily traffic 1100 Year 2033
Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 7.9 m = 25.9 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	
Type of service under bridge Highway-waterway [6]	Lanes under structure 2 Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]
Navigation vertical clearanc 16.8 m = 55.1 ft	Navigation horizontal clearance 18.6 m = 61.0 ft
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	idge 7.6 m = 24.9 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4.62 m = 15.2 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]	
Repair and Replacement Plans	
Type of work to be performed	Work done by
	Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost
	Length of structure improvement Total project cost 0
	Year of improvement cost estimate
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number

Inspection and Suffic	ciency										
Structure status C	Open, no res	striction [A]			praisal ratings - uctural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - superstructure Satisfactory [6]				Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment Equal to present minimum criteria [6]			sent minimum criteria [6]				
Condition ratings - substructure Satis		Satisfactory [6]	sfactory [6]		ppraisal ratings -	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as					
Condition ratings - dec	Condition ratings - deck Satisfactory [6]			d€	deck geometry	is [5]					
Scour			Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour conditions; field review indicates action is required. [4]								
Channel and channel protection			Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]								
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy		y Superior	Superior to present desirable criteria [9]				Status evaluation				
Pier or abutment protection		Navigation	Navigation protection not required [1]				Sufficiency rating 64				
Culverts Not applica	able. Used i	f structure is no	t a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings											
Traffic safety features - transitions											
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail											
Traffic safety features	s - approach	guardrail ends									
Inspection date August 2015 [0815]			Designated i	nspection	frequency 24		nths				
Underwater inspection Unknown		Unknown [Y36]	own [Y36]		Underwater inspection date			December 2015 [1215]			
			two years [Y24]		Fracture critical inspection date		e	August 2015 [0815]			
Other special inspection Not no		Not needed [N]	eded [N] Other special inspection date								