HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation									43-25-37.52 =	124-13-19.81
Oregon [4	41]	Coos County [011]	North B	end [53000]	01.4 MI N I	HWY 240 JC	T		43.427089	= -124.222169
01823 00	09 23403	Highway ag	ency district 7	Owner	State Highway	Agency [01]		Maintenance	eresponsibility	State Highway Age	ency [01]
Route 1	01	US	101(HWY009)		Toll On fr	ee road [3]	Fe	atures interse	cted COOS BA	Y (MCCULLOUGH BR	r)
Design - main	Steel continu	uous [4]	Design - approach	Concrete conti	nuous [2]	Kilometerp Year built	oint 3765	57 km = 23347 Year re	7.3 mi	67	
3	Truss - Thru	[10]	27	Arch - Deck [11	1]	Skew angle		Structure F	_		
						Historical s	significance	Bridge i	s on the NRHP.	[1]	
Total leng	jth 1626.8 m	n = 5337.5 ft	ength of maxim	um span 241.7	m = 793.0 ft	Deck wid	lth, out-to-out	10.6 m = 34.	8 ft Bridge ro	adway width, curb-to-ci	urb 8.2 m = 26.9 ft
Inventory	Route, Total	Horizontal Clearar	8.2 m = 26	.9 ft (Curb or sidewalk v	vidth - left	1.1 m = 3.6	ft	Curb or sid	dewalk width - right	1.1 m = 3.6 ft
Deck stru	cture type		Concrete Cast-	in-Place [1]							
Type of w	earing surfac	е	Epoxy Overlay	[5]							
Deck prot	ection										
Type of m	nembrane/wea	aring surface									
Weight L											
7.	detour length	Method to dete	rmine inventory	rating Lo	oad Factor(LF) [1]		Inve	ntory rating	23.6 metric tor	n = 26.0 tons	
2.6 km =	1.6 MI	Method to dete	rmine operating	rating Lo	oad Factor(LF) [1]		Ope	rating rating	39.9 metric tor	n = 43.9 tons	
		Bridge posting	Equal to or a	bove legal loads	s [5]		Desi	ign Load M	13.5 / H 15 [2]		

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 16500 Average daily to	ruck traffi 10 % Year 2014 Future average daily traffic 18400 Year 2033								
Road classification) [02] Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 8.2 m = 26.9 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designatio No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]								
Navigation vertical clearance 37.5 m = 123.0 ft Navigation horizontal clearance 157 m = 515.1 ft									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	dge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 5.16 m = 16.9 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Widening of existing bridge or other major structure without deck rehabilitation or replacement [33]	Bridge improvement cost 16987000 Roadway improvement cost 1699000								
inition do replacement [ee]	Length of structure improvement 1617 m = 5305.4 ft Total project cost 27179000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2011								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]						
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundation	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]							
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Superior to pre	sent desirable criteria [9]	Status evaluation Functionally obsolete [2]						
Pier or abutment protection	In place but in a	a deteriorated condition [3]	Sufficiency rating 49.5						
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culv	ert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings		Inpected feature meets currently acce	ptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - transition	ns .								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends								
Inspection date May 2015 [0	515] Des	signated inspection frequency 24	Months						
Underwater inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Underwater inspe	July 2016 [0716]						
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in	spection date August 2016 [0816]						
Other special inspection	Unknown [N00]	Other special insp	ection date						