## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							43-21-23.42 =	124-11-38.39
Oregon [41] Coos County [011]			Unknown [00000] 00.2 MI SW EASTSIDE SCL				43-21-23.42 = 43.356506	= -124.193997
01132F241 00051 Highway agency district 7		Owner State Highway A	Owner State Highway Agency [01]		aintenance responsibility State Highway Agency [01]			
Route 241 HWY 241			Toll On fre	ee road [3]	Features intersecte	ed ISTHMUS	SLOUGH	
Design - Steel [3] main		Design - approach	[3]	Kilometerpoint 67 Year built 1931	7.6 km = 41.9 mi	onstructed 196	0	
Movable - E	Bascule [16]	35 Truss	- Deck [09]	Skew angle 0	Structure Fla		ared [1]	
				Historical significance	Bridge is	not eligible for t	he NRHP. [5]	
Total length 515.4 m	= 1691.0 ft Leng	gth of maximum spa	an 42.7 m = 140.1 ft	Deck width, out-to-o	out 11 m = 36.1 ft	Bridge roa	dway width, curb-to-cu	8.2 m = 26.9 ft
Inventory Route, Total	Horizontal Clearance	8.2 m = 26.9 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	idth - left 1.1 m = 3	.6 ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	1.1 m = 3.6 ft
Deck structure type	Co	oncrete Cast-in-Plac	ce [1]					
Type of wearing surface	ce							
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/we	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inventory rating 15.4 metric ton = 16.9 tons			
1.4 km = 0.9 mi Method to determine operating rating			Load Factor(LF) [1]		perating rating	ing 26.3 metric ton = 28.9 tons		
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]				De	Design Load M 13.5 / H 15 [2]			

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 3000 Average daily tr	uck traffi 16 % Year 2014 Future average daily tra	affic 3000 Year 2033						
Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 8.2 m = 26.9 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control	Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]						
Navigation vertical clearanc 8.5 m = 27.9 ft	Navigation horizontal clearance 18.3 m	n = 60.0 ft						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  30.48 m = 100.0 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	eature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A  Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference	ce feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 5957000 Roadwa	ay improvement cost 596000						
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 567 m = 1860.3 ft	Total project cost 9531000						
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2011							
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Posted for load [P]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - superstructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action			ction [3]		
Condition ratings - deck	Poor [4]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundations determine required. [4]	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour conditions; field review indicates action is equired. [4]						
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is in need of r Banks and/or channel have m	Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage.  Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Superior to present desirable	Superior to present desirable criteria [9]			Structurally deficient [1]			
Pier or abutment protection	In place but in a deteriorated	In place but in a deteriorated condition [3]		Sufficiency rating	46.6			
Culverts Not applicable. Used i	f structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	S							
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail							
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail ends							
Inspection date								
·	Every two years [Y24]	Underwater inspec	ction date	August 2016 [0816]				
•	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins	spection date	August 2015 [0815]				
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date						