

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportation departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.
 Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information

Oregon [41]	Douglas County [019]	Unknown [00000]	03.4 N ROSEBURG NCL	43-16-59.45 = 43.283181	123-21-19.37 = -123.355381
00839 234 01221	Highway agency district 7	Owner County Highway Agency [02]	Maintenance responsibility	County Highway Agency [02]	
Route 99	OR 99 (HWY 234)	Toll On free road [3]	Features intersected	NORTH UMPQUA RIVER	
Design - main	Concrete continuous [2]	Design - approach	Concrete continuous [2]	Kilometerpoint	1965 km = 1218.3 mi
7	Arch - Deck [11]	6	Slab [01]	Year built	1923
				Year reconstructed	N/A [0000]
				Skew angle	0
				Structure Flared	Yes, flared [1]
				Historical significance	Bridge is eligible for the NRHP. [2]
Total length	274.8 m = 901.6 ft	Length of maximum span	34.1 m = 111.9 ft	Deck width, out-to-out	9.9 m = 32.5 ft
				Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb	7.3 m = 24.0 ft
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearanc	7.3 m = 24.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - left	0.9 m = 3.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - right	0.9 m = 3.0 ft
Deck structure type	Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]				
Type of wearing surface	Latex Concrete or similar additive [3]				
Deck protection					
Type of membrane/wearing surface					

Weight Limits

Bypass, detour length	Method to determine inventory rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inventory rating	22.7 metric ton = 25.0 tons
1.3 km = 0.8 mi	Method to determine operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Operating rating	37.2 metric ton = 40.9 tons
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above legal loads [5]	Design Load	M 13.5 / H 15 [2]

Functional Details

Average Daily Traffic	6474	Average daily truck traffi	6	%	Year	2010	Future average daily traffic	10173	Year	2030
Road classification	Minor Arterial (Rural) [06]		Lanes on structure	2		Approach roadway width	7.3 m = 24.0 ft			
Type of service on bridge	Highway [1]		Direction of traffic	2 - way traffic [2]		Bridge median				
Parallel structure designatio	No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge	Waterway [5]		Lanes under structure	0		Navigation control				
Navigation vertical clearanc	0 = N/A		Navigation horizontal clearance	0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge			Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway	99.99 m = 328.1 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right	0 = N/A				Minimum lateral underclearance on left	0 = N/A				
Minimum Vertical Underclearance	0 = N/A		Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances	N/A [N]									

Repair and Replacement Plans

Type of work to be performed	Work done by	Work to be done by contract [1]								
Widening of existing bridge or other major structure without deck rehabilitation or replacement [33]	Bridge improvement cost	2887000	Roadway improvement cost	289000						
	Length of structure improvement	275 m = 902.3 ft		Total project cost	4620000					
	Year of improvement cost estimate	2011								
	Border bridge - state				Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state					
	Border bridge - structure number									

Inspection and Sufficiency

Structure status	Open, no restriction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]
Condition ratings - superstructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]		

Scour	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]
-------	--

Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]
--------------------------------	--

Appraisal ratings - water adequacy	Superior to present desirable criteria [9]	Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]
------------------------------------	--	-------------------	---------------------------

Pier or abutment protection	Navigation protection not required [1]	Sufficiency rating	50.8
-----------------------------	--	--------------------	------

Culverts	Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]
----------	---

Traffic safety features - railings	Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]
Traffic safety features - transitions	
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail	
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends	

Inspection date	October 2015 [1015]	Designated inspection frequency	24	Months
Underwater inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Underwater inspection date	July 2016 [0716]	
Fracture critical inspection	Unknown [N00]	Fracture critical inspection date		
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date		