## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

## 2016 Inventor

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation										37-04-01.42 =	077-36-09.79
Virginia [51]		Dinwiddie Co	Dinwiddie County [053]			Unknown [00000]		0.11 Fr 656 & 0.82 To 647			37.067061	= -77.602719
5909	Highwa	Highway agency district 4			Owner State Highway Agency [01]			Maintenance	ce responsibility State Highway Agency [01]			
Route 1	Route 1 BOYDTON PLANK SBL			SBL	Toll     On free road [3]     Features intersected     STONY CRE				EEK			
Design - main				Design - approach	Concrete [1]			Kilometerpoint8698.1 km = 5392.8 miYear built1926Year reconstructedN/A [0000]				
1	1 Arch - Thru [12]		2	Tee beam [04]		Skew ang	Skew angle 0 Structure Flared					
					Historical	significance	ficance Bridge is eligible for the NRHP. [2]					
Total length 50.9 m = 167.0 ft Length of maximum span 27.4 m = 89.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 7.8 m = 25.6 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 7 m							urb 7 m = 23.0 ft					
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 7 m = 23.0 ft			ft	Curb or sidewalk width - left 0.2 m = 0.7 ft			Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0.2 m = 0.7 ft			
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place			in-Place [1]									
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]												
Deck protection												
Type of m	embrane/we	earing surface										
Weight Li	imits											
5.	Bypass, detour length Method to determine in			ne inventory	rating Lo	ad Factor(LF	<sup>-</sup> ) [1]	Inve	entory rating	16.1 metric ton	= 17.7 tons	
0.2 km = 0.1 mi Method to determine			nine operating rating Load Factor(LF) [1]		-) [1]	Operating rating 26.8 m		26.8 metric ton	ton = 29.5 tons			
Bridge posting 00.1 - 09.9 % below [4]						Des	sign Load M 1	13.5 / H 15 [2]				

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 1172 Average daily tr	uck traffi 3 % Year 2014 Fu	iture average daily traffic	3024 Year	2030			
Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07]	Lanes on structure 2		Approach roadway	v width 7.3 m = 24.0 ft			
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 1 - way tr	raffic [1]	Bridge me	dian			
Parallel structure designatio The left structure of parallel bridges. This structure carries traffic in the opposite direction. [L]							
Average Daily Traffic 1172 Average daily truck traffi 3 % Year 2014 Future average daily traffic 3024 Year 2030   Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07] Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 7.3 m = 24.0 ft   Type of service on bridge Highway [1] Direction of traffic [1] Bridge median							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizon	tal clearance 0 = N/A					
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	dge	Minimum vertical clearar	nce over bridge roadwa	ay 4.54 m = 14.9 ft			
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	eature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical uno	derclearance reference featu	ure Feature not a high	nway or railroad [N]			
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by cont	ract [1]					
	Bridge improvement cost 200000	Roadway impr	ovement cost 40	000			
	Length of structure improvement	61 m = 200.1 ft To	tal project cost 26	5000			
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state	Bord	ler bridge - percent res	ponsibility of other state			
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Posted for loa	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4] Equal to present desirable criteria [8]					
Condition ratings - superstructur	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment						
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundatic required. [4]	determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour conditions; field review indicates action is						
Channel and channel protection		ng to slump. River control devices and d d movement evident. Debris is restricti		have widespread minor damage. There is b]				
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	ey Equal to presen	t desirable criteria [8]	Status eval	uation Functionally obsolete [2]				
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency	rating 46.3				
Culverts Not applicable. Used i	if structure is not a culve	ert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	IS	Inpected feature meets currently accept	ure meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach	nguardrail	Inpected feature meets currently accept	ure meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends	Inpected feature meets currently accept	table standards. [1]					
Inspection date September 2	015 [0915] Des	ignated inspection frequency 12	Months					
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	tion date					
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins	pection date					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspec	Other special inspection date					