HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information					38-26-51.65 =	081-27-22.21
West Virginia [54] Kanawha County	[039]	Charleston [14600]	0.07 MI S OF CR 57		38.447681	= -81.456169
00000000020A128 Highway ag	ency district: 1	Owner State Highway	Agency [01]	Maintenance responsibility	State Highway Age	ncy [01]
Route 52 CC	UNTY ROUTE 52	Toll On fr	ree road [3]	eatures intersected BLUE CRE	EK	
Design - main Concrete [1] Arch - Deck [11]	Design - approach O Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint 584 Year built 1926 Skew angle 11	.1 km = 362.1 mi Year reconstructed N/A Structure Flared	ı [0000]	
Total length 38.4 m = 126.0 ft	Length of maximum spa	an 19.1 m = 62.7 ft	Historical significance Deck width, out-to-ou	Bridge is not eligible for t 5.6 m = 18.4 ft Bridge roa	the NRHP. [5]	urb 4.8 m = 15.7 ft
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearan Deck structure type	ce 4.8 m = 15.7 ft Concrete Cast-in-Place	Curb or sidewalk v	width - left 0.1 m = 0.3	ft Curb or sid	ewalk width - right	0.1 m = 0.3 ft
Type of wearing surface Deck protection	Bituminous [6]					
Type of membrane/wearing surface						
Weight Limits						
0.8 km = 0.5 mi	ermine inventory rating ermine operating rating			entory rating 8.2 metric ton = 20.9 metric ton		
Bridge posting	30.0 - 39.9 % belo	w [1]	Des	ign Load		

Functional Details	
Average Daily Traffic 652 Average daily tr	uck traffi 5 % Year 2017 Future average daily traffic 795 Year 2037
Road classification Local (Urban) [19]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 5.8 m = 19.0 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlir	nited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]	
Repair and Replacement Plans	
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 1200000 Roadway improvement cost 300000
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 38.4 m = 126.0 ft Total project cost 1500000
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2018
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Posted for load [P]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings - superstructure	Serious [3]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment Appraisal ratings -	Equal to present minimum criteria [6] Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]						
Condition ratings - deck	Poor [4]	deck geometry					
Scour	Bridge foundation	ns determined to be stable for the ass	essed or calculated scour condition	on. [8]			
Channel and channel protection	Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy Superior to present		ent desirable criteria [9]	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]			
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	16.3			
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culve	rt. [N]					
Traffic safety features - railings	Traffic safety features - railings Inpected feature meets currently accept						
Traffic safety features - transitions Inpected f		Inpected feature meets currently acce	ed feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]				
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail Inpected		Inpected feature meets currently acce	cted feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]				
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends	Inpected feature meets currently acce	ture meets currently acceptable standards. [1]				
Inspection date November 2018 [1118] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months							
Underwater inspection Not needed [N]		Underwater inspec	ction date				
Fracture critical inspection Not needed [N]		Fracture critical in:	spection date				
Other special inspection	Every year [Y12]	Other special insp	ection date November 201	8 [1118]			