The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information					42-16-05 =	084-50-51 = -		
Michigan [26]	Calhoun County [025]	Marengo [515	20] MARENG	O TWP SEC 26	42.268056	84.847500		
13200098000B010	Highway agency district 5	Owner Cou	Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility			Agency [02]		
Route 1375 23 MILE RD			Toll On free road [3]	Features interse	cted KALAMAZOO RIVER			
Design - Concrete [1] main 1 Girder and flo	Design - approach porbeam system [03]	Other [00]	Kilometern Year built Skew ang Historical	1925 Year re le 0 Structure F	constructed N/A [0000]			
Total length 18.2 m = 59.7 ft Length of maximum span 18.2 m = 59.7 ft Deck width, out-to-out 7.8 m = 25.6 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 6.1 m = 20.0 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 6.1 m = 20.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft								
Deck structure type Type of wearing surface Concrete Cast-in-Place Bituminous [6]								
Deck protection Type of membrane/wea	ring surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length 1.9 km = 1.2 mi	Method to determine inventor Method to determine operatin		ctor(LF) [1] ctor(LF) [1]	Inventory rating Operating rating				
	Bridge posting			Design Load				

Functional Details										
Average Daily Traffic 1202 Average daily tr	uck traffi 12 % Year 1994 Future average daily traffic 2196 Year 2023									
Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 6.4 m = 21.0 ft									
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median									
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control									
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A										
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Minimum lateral underclearance on right $0 = N/A$	Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]										
Repair and Replacement Plans										
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]									
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 290000 Roadway improvement cost 30000									
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 25.9 m = 85.0 ft Total project cost 380000									
	Year of improvement cost estimate									
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state									
	Border bridge - structure number									

Inspection and Sufficiency										
Structure status Open, posting recommended but not legally implemented [B]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - superstructur Poor [4]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to	eria [8]						
Condition ratings - substructure Fair		[5]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck Poor		[4]	deck geometry							
Scour		Bridge with "unknown" foundation that has not been evaluated for scour. [U]								
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]								
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy		Equal to present desirable cr		Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection					Sufficiency rating	4				
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]										
Traffic safety features - railings Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]										
Traffic safety features - trans										
Traffic safety features - appro	drail									
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]										
Inspection date September 2009 [0909] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months										
Underwater inspection Not needed [N] Underwater inspection date										
Fracture critical inspection Not needed [N]			Fracture critical inspection date							
Other special inspection	eeded [N]	Other special insp	ection date							