## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

## 2000 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							00-00-00 =	000-00-00 = -
Michigan [26] Jackson County [075]			Rives [68920] 1.2 MI N 0.6 MI E		RIVES		0.000000	0.000000
38200177000B010Highway agency district6		Owner County Highway	ner County Highway Agency [02]		Maintenance responsibility County Highway Agency [02]		gency [02]	
Route 0	CHURC	CHILL ROAD	Toll On fre	e road [3] F	eatures intersec	ted GRAND RIVE	ER	
Design - Steel [3] 2 Stringer/Mu	ılti-beam or girder [02]	Design - approach 0 Other [0	00]	Kilometerpoint0 kYear built1929Skew angle0Historical significance	Structure FI	onstructed N/A [C ared		
Total length 27.4 m = 89.9 ft Length of maximum span 13.1 m = 43.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 8.6 m = 28.2 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 6.7 m = 22.0 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 6.7 m = 22.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft   Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1] Concrete Cast-in-Place [1] Concrete Cast-in-Place [1] Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]								
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]								
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/we	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length	Method to determin	ne inventory rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inv	entory rating	19 metric ton = 20	0.9 tons	
1 km = 0.6 mi	Method to determin	ne operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Ορ	perating rating	31 metric ton = 34	4.1 tons	
	Bridge posting 0	0.1 - 09.9 % below	[4]	De	esign Load MS	18+Mod / HS 20+	Mod [6]	

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 910 Average daily tr	uck traffi 0 % Year 1998	Future average daily traffic 110	0 Year 2018	3			
Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07]	Lanes on structure 2		Approach roadway widt	h 6.4 m = 21.0 ft			
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - wa	iy traffic [2]	Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0	Navigation control					
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horiz	zontal clearance 0 = N/A					
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	lge	Minimum vertical clearance	over bridge roadway	99.99 m = 328.1 ft			
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	eature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlin	Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A						
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by c	ontract [1]					
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 1000	Roadway improve	ment cost 1000				
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement	37 m = 121.4 ft Total p	roject cost				
	Year of improvement cost estimate	1998					
	Border bridge - state	Border b	ridge - percent respons	ibility of other state 0			
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Posted for lo	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - superstructur Poor [4]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present min	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tole	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]				
Condition ratings - deck	Poor [4]	deck geometry						
Scour	Scour calculation/evaluation h	Scour calculation/evaluation has not been made. [6]						
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present minimum cri	iteria [6]	Status ev	aluation Structurally	deficient [1]			
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficient	cy rating 35.4				
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transitions								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends								
Inspection date December 19	998 [1298] Designated inspe	ection frequency 24	Months					
Underwater inspection Unknown [N24] Underwater inspection date								
Fracture critical inspection	Unknown [N24]	Fracture critical in	spection date					
Other special inspection	Unknown [N24]	Other special insp	Other special inspection date					