The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information				42-02-14 =	083-17-13 = -	
Michigan [26] Monroe County [115]		Berlin [07720] 2.8 MI SW OF WAYNE COL		42.037222	83.286944	
58158152000S060 Highway agency district 6		Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility		responsibility State Highway Ag	gency [01]	
Route 0	SIGLER RD	Toll On free r	oad [3] Features intersed	ted I-75		
Design - Concrete continumain 4 Tee beam [04]	Design - approach O Other	Y [00]	ear built 1954 Year rec	954 Year reconstructed N/A [0000]		
		Н	istorical significance Bridge is	s on the NRHP. [1]		
Total length $79.8 \text{ m} = 261$.8 ft Length of maximum sp	23.7 m = 77.8 ft	Deck width, out-to-out 9.5 m = 31.2	ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-	curb 7.9 m = 25.9 ft	
Inventory Route, Total Hori	zontal Clearance 8.8 m = 28.9 ft	Curb or sidewalk width	n - left 0.4 m = 1.3 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - right	0.4 m = 1.3 ft	
Deck structure type	Concrete Cast-in-Pla	ce [1]				
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete (o		e (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]				
Deck protection						
Type of membrane/wearing	surface					
Weight Limits						
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		Allowable Stress(AS) [2	Inventory rating	46.4 metric ton = 51.0 tons		
0.5 km = 0.3 mi Method to determine operating rating		Allowable Stress(AS) [2	Operating rating	83.6 metric ton = 92.0 tons		
I	Bridge posting Equal to or above I	egal loads [5]	Design Load MS	18 / HS 20 [5]		

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 270 Average daily tr	ruck traffi 3 % Year 1995 Future average daily tr	traffic 270 Year 2015						
Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 10.9 m = 35.8 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]	<u> </u>						
Type of service under bridge Highway, with or without	out ped Lanes under structure 6 Navigation con	ntrol Not applicable, no waterway. [N]						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = Na	I/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature H	ighway beneath structure [H]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 2.7 m = 8.9 ft Minimum lateral underclearance on left 5 m = 16.4 ft								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 4.7 m = 15.4 ft	Minimum vertical underclearance referer	nce feature Highway beneath structure [H]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Basically intoler	able requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]							
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Bridge deck replacement with only incidental widening. [37]	Bridge improvement cost 91000 Roadw	way improvement cost 9000						
macining. [27]	Length of structure improvement 79.9 m = 262.2 ft	Total project cost 107000						
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency					
Structure status Open, no restriction [A]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimu	m criteria [6]	
Condition ratings - superstructur	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment Appraisal ratings -	Equal to present minimum criteria [6] Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]		
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]				
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry			
Scour	Bridge not over waterway. [N]				
Channel and channel protection	Not applicable. [N]				
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	N/A [N]		Status evalua	tion Functionally obsolete [2]	
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency ra	ting 83.4	
Culverts Not applicable. Used Traffic safety features - railings	if structure is not a culvert. [N]				
Traffic safety features - transition	ns				
		ure meets currently acce	ptable standards. [1]		
		ure meets currently acceptable standards. [1]			
Inspection date May 2009 [0	509] Designated inspe	ection frequency 24	Months		
Underwater inspection Not needed [N] Underwater inspection date					
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins	spection date		
Other special inspection Not needed [N] Other special inspection date					