## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							27-10-47.64 =	082-29-40.08
Florida [12]	Sarasota County [115]		Unknown [00000]	0.6MI W of US 41			27-10-47.04 = 27.179900	= -82.494467
170064 Highway agency district: 1		Owner County Highway Agency [02]		Maintenance	responsibility	esponsibility County Highway Agency [02]		
Route 789	CR 789 (	(BLKBRN PT)	Toll On fre	e road [3]	Features intersec	ted INTRACOA	STAL WATERWAY	
Design - Steel [3] main		Design - approach		Kilometerpoint 91 Year built 1925	12.5 km = 565.8 r Year red	onstructed 1995	5	
1 Movable - S	Swing [17]	Other [	00]	Skew angle 0	Structure FI	ared		
				Historical significance	e Bridge is	on the NRHP. [	1]	
Total length 43.3 m =	= 142.1 ft Length	h of maximum spa	n 43.3 m = 142.1 ft	Deck width, out-to-o	out 4.9 m = 16.1	ft Bridge road	dway width, curb-to-cu	urb 4.8 m = 15.7 ft
Inventory Route, Total	Horizontal Clearance	4.8 m = 15.7 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	idth - left $0.2 \text{ m} = 0$	.7 ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0.2 m = 0.7 ft
Deck structure type	Ope	n Grating [3]						
Type of wearing surface	ce							
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/we	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length	Method to determine	e inventory rating	Allowable Stress(AS	) [2] In	ventory rating	28 metric ton = 3	30.8 tons	
1.4 km = 0.9 mi	Method to determine	e operating rating	Allowable Stress(AS	) [2] O <sub>l</sub>	perating rating	35.6 metric ton	= 39.2 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]			De	Design Load MS 18 / HS 20 [5]				

Functional Details	
Average Daily Traffic 4900 Average daily	truck traffi 4 % Year 2018 Future average daily traffic 6125 Year 2038
Road classification Collector (Urban) [17]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 5.2 m = 17.1 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3]  Bridge median
Parallel structure designation No parallel structu	re exists. [N]
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]
Navigation vertical clearanc 2.7 m = 8.9 ft	Navigation horizontal clearance 15.7 m = 51.5 ft
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift be	idge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	eature not a highway or railroad [N]
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]	
Repair and Replacement Plans	
Type of work to be performed	Work done by
	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0
	Length of structure improvement 0 m = 0.0 ft Total project cost 0
	Year of improvement cost estimate
	Border bridge - state  Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	Open, no restriction [A]		Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]						
Condition ratings - superstructure Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Basically into	igh priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically into	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]					
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry							
Scour	Countermeasures have been	installed to mitigate an ex	xisting problem	with scour. [7]					
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage.  Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequate	ey Equal to present desirable cr	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]		Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]				
Pier or abutment protection	In place and functioning [2]	In place and functioning [2]		Sufficiency rating	56.2				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	ns								
Traffic safety features - approach									
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends								
Inspection date									
·	Every two years [Y24]	Underwater inspec							
·	Every year [Y12]	Fracture critical ins	•	July 2018 [0718					
Other special inspection	Every year [Y12]	Other special insp	ection date	July 2018 [0718]					