The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							
Illinois [17] Cook County [031]		Alsip [01010] 0.6 M N IL 83		41-39-20 = 41.6 087-44-18 = -87.7			
000016042104129 Highway agency district 1		Owner State Highway Agency [01]		Maintenance re	esponsibility State Hig	hway Agency [01]	
Route 50 IL 50 (CICERO AVE Toll On free road [3] Features intersected CAL SAG CHANNEL							
0		Design - approach Steel String	[3] ger/Multi-beam or girder [02]	Year built 1938	4.6 km = 4014.3 Year reco	nstructed 1984	
				Historical significance		not eligible for the NRHP. [
Fotal length 115.2 m =	378.0 ft Len	gth of maximum sp	ean 82.3 m = 270.0 ft	Deck width, out-to-ou	17.6 m = 57.7	ft Bridge roadway width,	curb-to-curb 13.3 m = 43.6 ft
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 13.3 m = 43.6 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.5) ft	Curb or sidewalk width	- right $1.5 \text{ m} = 4.9 \text{ ft}$
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]							
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]							
Deck protection Epoxy Coated Re		ooxy Coated Reinfo	forcing [1]				
Type of membrane/wear	ng surface						
Weight Limits							
Bypass, detour length	Wethou to determine inventory runny		Allowable Stress(AS	5) [2] Inve	entory rating	33.3 metric ton = 36.6 tons	
0.3 km = 0.2 mi Method to determine operating		ine operating rating	Allowable Stress(AS	Ope	erating rating	53.1 metric ton = 58.4 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]				Des	sign Load MS 1	8 / HS 20 [5]	

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 41700 Average daily tr	uck traffi 8 % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 49950 Year 2021								
Road classification Other Principal Arterial (Urban)	[14] Lanes on structure 4 Approach roadway width 13.4 m = 44.0 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]								
Navigation vertical clearanc 7.3 m = 24.0 ft	Navigation horizontal clearance 60.3 m = 197.8 ft								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	dge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 5.26 m = 17.3 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Description I Description I Discription									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Bridge deck rehabilitation with only incidental widening. [36]	Bridge improvement cost 1307000 Roadway improvement cost 131000								
aog, [ee]	Length of structure improvement 115.2 m = 378.0 ft Total project cost 1963000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	triction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable cri	teria [8]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations de	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present des	irable criteria [8]	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	41.2					
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings	Inpe	cted feature meets currently acce	ature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - transition	IS								
Traffic safety features - approach									
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date November 2009 [1109] Designated inspection frequency 12 Months									
· ·	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec							
	Every year [Y12]	Fracture critical ins		09 [1109]					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date						