The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information											
Illinois [17] Cook County [031]			Chica	Chicago [14000] 3900 S & 950 E			41-49-28 = 4	1.8 087-36-09 = -87.6			
000016617427252 Highway agency district		y district 1	Owr	Owner City or Municipal Highway Agency [04] Maintenance responsibility			e responsibility	City or Municipa	l Highway Agency [04]		
Route 3556		OAKW	OOD BLVD		Toll On fre	e road [3]	Fe	atures interse	cted IC RR		
Design - Steel continuation Stringer/Mi	nuous [4] ulti-beam or gi	rder [02]	Design - approach	Other [00]		Kilometerpo Year built Skew angle Historical s	1921	Structure F	constructed N/A		
Total length 79.6 m	= 261.2 ft	Lenç	gth of maximu	ım span 21 ı	m = 68.9 ft	Deck widt	th, out-to-out	24.4 m = 80	.1 ft Bridge road	dway width, curb-to	o-curb 15.2 m = 49.9 ft
Inventory Route, Tota	l Horizontal C	learance	15.2 m = 49	.9 ft	Curb or sidewalk wi	idth - left	3.7 m = 12.7	1 ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	3.7 m = 12.1 ft
Deck structure type		Co	oncrete Cast-	n-Place [1]							
Type of wearing surface		Ep	Epoxy Overlay [5]								
Deck protection											
Type of membrane/w	earing surface										
Weight Limits											
		o determi	rmine inventory rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inve	ntory rating	21.6 metric ton	= 23.8 tons	
0 km = 0.0 mi	Method to	o determi	ne operating	rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]		Ope	rating rating	28.8 metric ton	= 31.7 tons	
	Bridge po	osting (00.1 - 09.9 9	6 below [4]			Desi	ign Load			

Functional Details	
Average Daily Traffic 12100 Average daily tr	uck traffi 8 % Year 2006 Future average daily traffic 4050 Year 2021
Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]	Lanes on structure 4 Approach roadway width 15.2 m = 49.9 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]
Type of service under bridge Railroad [2]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control Not applicable, no waterway. [N]
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature R	ailroad beneath structure [R]
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 2.3 m = 7.5	ft Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 5.77 m = 18.9 ft	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Railroad beneath structure [R]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Basically intoler	able requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]
D : 10 1 10	
Repair and Replacement Plans	
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 1002000 Roadway improvement cost 100000
actorioration of managedite strongen [66]	Length of structure improvement 79.6 m = 261.2 ft Total project cost 1503000
	Year of improvement cost estimate
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Open, no res	triction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]				
Condition ratings - superstructur	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	ppraisal ratings - adway alignment Better than present minimum criteria [7]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Serious [3]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]				
Condition ratings - deck	Poor [4]						
Scour	Bridge not over waterway. [N]						
Channel and channel protection	Not applicable. [N]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y		Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]			
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	20.5			
Culverts Not applicable. Used i	if structure is not a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings							
Traffic safety features - transition	S						
Traffic safety features - approach							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends						
Inspection date May 2009 [05]							
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec					
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical inspection date					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date				