The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							41-39-58 =	086-10-03 = -
Indiana [18]	diana [18] St. Joseph County [141]		Mishawaka [49932] 0.3 E of CEDAR St				41.666111	86.167500
7100052 Highway agency district 4		rict 4	Owner County Highway Agency [02]		Maintenance responsibility County Highwa		County Highway A	agency [02]
Route 0	MISHAWAK	Toll On fre	free road [3] Features intersected ST. JOSEPH River					
Design - Concrete [1] main Arch - Deck	аррі	ign - roach Other [0	00]	Kilometerpoint 0 kilometerpoint 1924 Skew angle 0	m = 0.0 mi Year re Structure F	constructed 1987	1	
				Historical significance	Bridge	s eligible for the N	IRHP. [2]	
Total length 118.6 m = 389.1 ft Length of maximum span 29.3 m = 96.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 13.4 m = 44.0 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 9.1 m = 29.9 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 9.1 m = 29.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.8 m = 5.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right								
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]								
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]								
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wearing surface								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length	wether to determine in		No rating analysis per		entory rating	18 metric ton = 1	19.8 tons	
0.2 km = 0.1 mi	Method to determine op	erating rating	Allowable Stress(AS	(i) [2] Ope	erating rating	22.5 metric ton =	= 24.8 tons	
	Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]			Des	Design Load M 18 / H 20 [4]			

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 10210 Average daily tr	uck traffi 3 % Year 2006 Future average daily traffic 16422 Year 2029							
Road classification Collector (Urban) [17]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 9.1 m = 29.9 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 5.5 m = 18.0 ft	Navigation horizontal clearance 29.3 m = 96.1 ft							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Danain and Danlessmant Dlane								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 2557000 Roadway improvement cost 389000							
μωτο στο στο στο στο στο στο στο στο στο σ	Length of structure improvement 118.6 m = 389.1 ft Total project cost 3730000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2008							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status New structur	Appraisal ratings - structural Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]			to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - superstructur Poor [4]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to pres	ria [6]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minim	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge is scour critical; bridge	Bridge is scour critical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3]							
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Superior to present desirable	Superior to present desirable criteria [9]			Structurally deficient [1]				
Pier or abutment protection	Navigation protection not requ	Navigation protection not required [1]			33.6				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition									
Traffic safety features - approach									
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date October 200	8 [1008] Designated inspe	ection frequency 24	Mon	iths					
Underwater inspection Unknown [Y60]		Underwater inspec	ction date	September 200	5 [0905]				
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical inspe							
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	ection date						