The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							00-00-00 =	000-00-00 = -
Maryland [24]	Frederick County [0	21]	Unknown [00000]	Jnknown [00000] 0.05 M E OF MARKE		(ER ROAD		0.000000
100000100040010 Highway agency district 7		Owner State Highway	wner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility		State Highway Ago	ency [01]		
Route 40 US 40 ALT			Toll On fre	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected CATOCTIN			CREEK	
Design - Concrete [1] main 1 Arch - Deck [Design - approach 0 Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint 6 Year built 1923 Skew angle 0 Historical significance	Structure F	constructed N/A	[0000] IRHP. [2]	
Total length 25.9 m = 85.0 ft Length of maximum span 25.9 m = 85.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 8.2 m = 26.9 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 7.3 m = 24.0 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 7.3 m = 24.0 ft		Curb or sidewalk w	Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or side		walk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft		
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place			ce [1]					
Type of wearing surface Bituminous		Bituminous [6]	ous [6]					
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wea	ring surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inve		mine inventory rating	Load Testing [4]	Ir	ventory rating	32.4 metric ton =	= 35.6 tons	
0.3 km = 0.2 mi Method to determine operating rating		Load Testing [4]	O	perating rating	32.4 metric ton =	metric ton = 35.6 tons		
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]			D	esign Load				

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 9212 Average daily tr	uck traffi 8 % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 11013 Year 2026							
Road classification Minor Arterial (Rural) [06]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 9.1 m = 29.9 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Widening of existing bridge or other major structure without deck rehabilitation or replacement [33]	Bridge improvement cost 137000 Roadway improvement cost 14000							
without deak renabilitation of replacement [55]	Length of structure improvement 25.9 m = 85.0 ft Total project cost 151000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no restriction [A]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]						
Condition ratings - superstructur Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment							
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundation	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]							
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequa	Better than prese	ent minimum criteria [7]	Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]					
Pier or abutment protection	None present but	re-evaluation suggested [5]	Sufficiency rating	68					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culver	rt. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings	I	npected feature meets currently acce	ure meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - transitio	ns I	npected feature meets currently acce	ature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approac	h guardrail	npected feature meets currently acce	ature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]									
Inspection date August 2010 [0810] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y48]	Underwater inspec	ction date August 2010	[0810]					
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins	spection date						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date						