HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

2011 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information Massachusetts [25] Franklin County [011]	Erving [21780] .05 MI E OF RTE 2	42-35-51 = 072-26-18 = - 42 597500 72 438333
E100060LGMUNNBI Highway agency district 2	Owner Town or Township Highway Agency [03]	Maintenance responsibility Town or Township Highway Agency [03]
Route 0 HWY FARLEY RD	Toll On free road [3] Fea	tures intersected WATER MILLERS RIVER
Design - mainAluminum, Wrought Iron or Cast Iron [9]Design - approach1Truss - Thru [10]0	[00] Kilometerpoint 3.2 kr Year built 1889 Skew angle 0 Historical significance	n = 2.0 mi Year reconstructed N/A [0000] Structure Flared Bridge is eligible for the NRHP. [2]
Total length 38.7 m = 127.0 ft Length of maximum spa	an 37.5 m = 123.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out	4.9 m = 16.1 ftBridge roadway width, curb-to-curb4.2 m = 13.8 ft
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.2 m = 13.8 ft Deck structure type Wood or Timber [8]	Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft
Type of wearing surface Wood or Timber [7]		
Deck protection		
Type of membrane/wearing surface		
Weight Limits		
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating 0.2 km = 0.1 mi Method to determine operating rating Bridge posting Reference operating	Allowable Stress(AS) [2]InvenAllowable Stress(AS) [2]Operation	tory rating12 metric ton = 13.2 tonsating rating16.1 metric ton = 17.7 tons
	Desig	

Functional Details	
Average Daily Traffic 1425 Average daily tr	uck traffi 0 % Year 2010 Future average daily traffic 2251 Year 2031
Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 4 m = 13.1 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	dge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4.88 m = 16.0 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	eature not a highway or railroad [N]
Minimum lateral underclearance on right $0 = N/A$	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]	
Repair and Replacement Plans	
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost2280000Roadway improvement cost229000
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement49 m = 160.8 ftTotal project cost3421000
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2011
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number

Inspection and Sufficiency					
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolera	rable requiring high priority of replacement [2]	
Condition ratings - superstructur	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present minimum criteria [6] Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]		
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings -			
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry			
Scour	Bridge is scour critical; field re	eview indicates that extens	sive scour has occu	curred at bridge foundations. [2]	
Channel and channel protection	Bank and embankment protect debris are in the channel. [4]	ction is severely undermin	ed. River control d	devices have severe damage. Large deposits of	
Appraisal ratings - water adequad	Better than present minimum	criteria [7]	Statu	us evaluation Structurally deficient [1]	
Pier or abutment protection			Suffic	iciency rating 20.4	
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]				
Traffic safety features - railings					
Traffic safety features - transition	15				
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail				
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends				
Inspection date January 201	0 [0110] Designated inspe	ection frequency 24	Months	S	
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y36]	Underwater inspec	tion date	July 2008 [0708]	
Fracture critical inspection Every two years [Y24] Fracture critical inspection		pection date	January 2010 [0110]		
Other special inspection	special inspection Not needed [N] Other special inspection date		ection date		