The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							42-38-26 =	071-19-53 = -
Massachusetts [25] Middlesex County [017]		Lowell [37000] 0.40 KM SE WAL		E WALKER & BRD	ALKER & BRDWY		71.331389	
L150112N6DOT634 Highway agency district 4			Owner State High	Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility		State Highway A	Agency [01]	
Route 0 HWY WALKER ST				On free road [3]	Features	s intersected RR	BMRR	
Design - Steel [3] main 1 Truss - Thru [10]	Design - approach Othe	r [00]	Kilometerp Year built Skew angl Historical s	1910 e 0 Str	Year reconstruct	ed 1994 jible for the NRHP. [5]	
Total length 19.5 m = 64.0 ft Length of maximum span 17.4 m = 57.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 12.3 m = 40.4 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 7 m = 23.0 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 7 m = 23.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.9 m = 6.2 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 1.9 m = 6.2 ft								
Deck structure type Type of wearing surface Deck protection Corrugated Steel [6] Bituminous [6]								
Type of membrane/wearing surface								
Weight Limits Bypass, detour length	Mothod to dotormi	ino inventory retine	Load Easter/I	E\ [1]	Inventory	rating 22.2 mg	otric ton _ 25 / tons	
0.3 km = 0.2 mi Method to determine inventory rating Method to determine operating rating		· ·	Load Factor(LF) [1] Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inventory rating 32.2 metric ton = 35.4 tons Operating rating 53.7 metric ton = 59.1 tons			
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]					Design Load M 13.5 / H 15 [2]			

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 3000 Average daily tru	ıck traffi 18 % Year 2010 Future average daily traffic 4738 Year 2031							
Road classification Collector (Urban) [17]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 8.5 m = 27.9 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Railroad [2]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control Not applicable, no waterway. [N]							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Railroad beneath structure [R]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 5.8 m = 19.0 ft Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 5.46 m = 17.9 ft Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Railroad beneath structure [R]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
·	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 609000 Roadway improvement cost 61000							
	Length of structure improvement 20 m = 65.6 ft Total project cost 914000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2011							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	triction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]						
Condition ratings - superstructur Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	eria [6]						
Condition ratings - substructure Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring I	high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge not over waterway. [N]	Bridge not over waterway. [N]							
Channel and channel protection	Not applicable. [N]	Not applicable. [N]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	vy N/A [N]		Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	57.3					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	OS .								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends								
Inspection date November 2010 [1110] Designated inspection frequency 12 Months									
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ction date						
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins	Spection date November 201	0 [1110]					
Other special inspection	Every year [Y12]	Other special insp	ection date November 2010	0 [1110]					