The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

| Basic Information   |                                     | 46-15-25 = 085-52-25 = -   |  |  |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Michigan [26] Schoolcraft County [153]  | Germfask [31940] 3 MI E OF GERMFASK | 46.256944 85.873611  |  |  |
| 75200028000B020 Highway agency district 1   | Owner County Highway Agency [02]    | Maintenance responsibility County Highway Agency [02]                                    |  |  |
| Route 7558 TEN CURVES RD H44 Toll On free road [3] Features intersected MANISTIQUE RIVER  |                                     |  |  |  |
| Design - main  Concrete [1] Design - approach  Girder and floorbeam system [03] 0 Other   | Year built 1929                     | m = 61.7 mi  Year reconstructed N/A [0000]  Structure Flared  Bridge is on the NRHP. [1] |  |  |
| Total length 18.2 m = 59.7 ft Length of maximum span 17.6 m = 57.7 ft Deck width, out-to-out 7.6 m = 24.9 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 6.7 m = 22.0 ft  Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 6.7 m = 22.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft |                                     |  |  |  |
| Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Pla  | ce [1]                              |  |  |  |
| Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]  |                                     |  |  |  |
| Deck protection   |                                     |  |  |  |
| Type of membrane/wearing surface  |                                     |  |  |  |
| Weight Limits   |                                     |  |  |  |
| Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating  | Load Factor(LF) [1] Invento         | ory rating 13.6 metric ton = 15.0 tons   |  |  |
| 2.7 km = 1.7 mi  Method to determine operating rating   | Load Factor(LF) [1] Operat          | ting rating 32.7 metric ton = 36.0 tons  |  |  |
| Bridge posting 00.1 - 09.9 % belo   | w [4] Design                        | Load MS 18+Mod / HS 20+Mod [6]   |  |  |

| Functional Details  |   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Average Daily Traffic 374 Average daily tr  | uck traffi 0 % Year 1999 Future average daily traffic 449 Year 2009         |  |  |  |  |
| Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07]  | Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 7.9 m = 25.9 ft                 |  |  |  |  |
| Type of service on bridge Highway [1]   | Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median                     |  |  |  |  |
| Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]   | Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control                                  |  |  |  |  |
| Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A  | Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A                                     |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid   | Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft           |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A                         |   |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N] |   |  |  |  |  |
| Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Repair and Replacement Plans  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Type of work to be performed  | Work done by  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost                            |  |  |  |  |
|   | Length of structure improvement Total project cost                          |  |  |  |  |
|   | Year of improvement cost estimate   |  |  |  |  |
|   | Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state |  |  |  |  |
|   | Border bridge - structure number  |  |  |  |  |

| Inspection and Sufficiency   |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Structure status Posted for lo   | ad [P]   | Appraisal ratings - structural         | Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4] |  |  |
| Condition ratings - superstructur  | Satisfactory [6]   | Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment  | Better than present minimum criteria [7]                     |  |  |
| Condition ratings - substructure   | Good [7]   | Appraisal ratings -                    | Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4] |  |  |
| Condition ratings - deck   | Satisfactory [6]   | deck geometry                          |  |  |  |
| Scour  | Bridge foundation  | s determined to be stable for the asso | essed or calculated scour condition. [8]                     |  |  |
| Channel and channel protection   | Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8] |  |  |  |  |
| Appraisal ratings - water adequac  | Equal to present   | desirable criteria [8]                 | Status evaluation  |  |  |
| Pier or abutment protection  |  |  | Sufficiency rating 53.5                                      |  |  |
| Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic safety features - railings   | railings Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]  |  | ptable standards. [1]  |  |  |
| Traffic safety features - transitions Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1] |  | ptable standards. [1]                  |  |  |  |
| Traffic safety features - approach guardrail Inpected feature meets c                            |  | npected feature meets currently acce   | ptable standards. [1]  |  |  |
| Traffic safety features - approach   | afety features - approach guardrail ends Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]  |  |  |  |  |
| Inspection date  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Underwater inspection   Not needed [N]   Underwater inspection date                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fracture critical inspection Not needed [N]  |  | Fracture critical in:                  |  |  |  |
| Other special inspection   Not needed [N]   Other special inspection date                        |  |  |  |  |  |