HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							42-30-55 =	083-16-47 = -
Michigan [26]	Oakland County [125]		Bingham Farms [08460]	H AND LAHSER		42.515278	83.279722	
63200605000B010 Highway agency district: 7		Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility			County Highway Agency [02]			
Route 2059 THIRTEEN MILE		Toll On free road [3] Features intersected ROUGE RIV			VER			
Design - Concrete [1] main Tee beam [6]		Design - approach 0 Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1926 Skew angle 0 Historical significar	Structure F	constructed N/A	[0000] the NRHP. [5]	
Total length $21 \text{ m} = 6$	8.9 ft Lenç	gth of maximum spa	9.1 m = 29.9 ft	Deck width, out-to	o-out 13.4 m = 44.	0 ft Bridge roa	dway width, curb-to-o	curb 12.5 m = 41.0 ft
Inventory Route, Total	Horizontal Clearance	12.8 m = 42.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk wi	dth - left 0.1 m =	= 0.3 ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0.1 m = 0.3 ft
Deck structure type	Co	oncrete Cast-in-Plac	ce [1]					
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]								
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/we	aring surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		Inventory rating	23.6 metric ton	= 26.0 tons		
0.6 km = 0.4 mi Method to determine operating rating		Allowable Stress(AS)	[2]	Operating rating	23.7 metric ton = 26.1 tons			
	Bridge posting				Design Load MS	18+Mod / HS 20)+Mod [6]	

Functional Details	
Average Daily Traffic 16976 Average daily tr	uck traffi 0 % Year 2003 Future average daily traffic 14400 Year 2010
Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 12.2 m = 40.0 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	eature not a highway or railroad [N]
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlin	nited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]	
Repair and Replacement Plans	
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 30.5 m = 100.1 ft Total project cost 0
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2005
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]						
Condition ratings - superstructure	Serious [3]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets minimu						
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings -	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]						
Condition ratings - deck	Serious [3]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge is scour critical;	Bridge is scour critical; field review indicates that extensive scour has occurred at bridge foundations. [2]							
Channel and channel protection		Bank and embankment protection is severely undermined. River control devices have severe damage. Large deposits of debris are in the channel. [4]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequae	Meets minimum toleral	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [Structurally deficient [1]				
Pier or abutment protection			Suf	fficiency rating	23.5				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings	ed feature meets currently acce	ure meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Traffic safety features - transition	ns								
Traffic safety features - approac	ed feature meets currently acce	ature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Traffic safety features - approac	n guardrail ends Inpect	ed feature meets currently acce	ure meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Inspection date August 2008 [0808] Designated inspection frequency 12 Months									
Underwater inspection	Every year [Y12]	Underwater inspec	ction date	November 2008	3 [1108]				
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in:	spection date						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date						