HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							42-59-09.12 =	083-44-19.25
Michigan [26] Genesee County [049]		Flint [29020] S W CORNER OF FLINT			42-39-09.12 -	= -83.738681		
2785	Highway agenc	y district: 4	Owner County Highwa	y Agency [02]	Maintenance re	esponsibility	County Highway A	gency [02]
Route 0	CLAU	DE AVE	Toll On fro	ee road [3]	Features intersecte	ed SWARTZ C	REEK	
Design - Prestressed c	oncrete [5]	Design - approach		Kilometerpoint 33	3.6 km = 20.8 mi			
	nindana Madiinla		1001	Year built 1957	Year reco	nstructed N/A	[0000]	
[05]	girders - Multiple	0 Other	[00]	Skew angle 12	Structure Fla	red		
				Historical significance	Bridge is	not eligible for t	he NRHP. [5]	
Total length 18.2 m = 5	9.7 ft Len	gth of maximum spa	17.6 m = 57.7 ft	Deck width, out-to-o	out 10.2 m = 33.5	ft Bridge roa	dway width, curb-to-cu	urb 7.9 m = 25.9 f
Inventory Route, Total H	orizontal Clearance	9.5 m = 31.2 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	vidth - left 0.7 m = 2	.3 ft	Curb or sid	ewalk width - right	0.7 m = 2.3 ft
Deck structure type	Co	oncrete Cast-in-Plac	e [1]					
Type of wearing surface	М	onolithic Concrete (d	concurrently placed with st	ructural deck) [1]				
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wear	ing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length	Method to determ	ine inventory rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	In	ventory rating	10.2 metric ton	= 44.2 tons	
0.3 km = 0.2 mi	Method to determ	ine operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Ol	perating rating	68.3 metric ton	= 75.1 tons	
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above le	gal loads [5]	De	esign Load MS 1	8+Mod / HS 20	161 hoM+(

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 56 Average daily t	ruck traffi 1 % Year 2006 Future average daily	rtraffic 101 Year 2026							
Road classification Local (Urban) [19]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 11 m = 36.1 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structu	re exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation co	ontrol							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 =	N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	eature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unli	mited Minimum lateral u	underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance refer	rence feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by								
	Bridge improvement cost Road	dway improvement cost							
	Length of structure improvement	Total project cost							
	Year of improvement cost estimate								
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no restriction [A]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Better than present minimum criteria [7]					
Condition ratings - superstructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge is scour critical; bridge	Bridge is scour critical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3]						
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being erod channel. [5]	k protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the nnel. [5]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present minimum cr	iteria [6]	Status evaluation					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating					
Culverts Not applicable. Used i	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - transition	2							
Traffic safety features - approach								
Traffic safety features - approach								
Inspection date November 2020 [1120] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months								
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ection date					
Fracture critical inspection Not needed [N]		Fracture critical ins	nspection date					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	pection date					