The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							00-00-00 =	000-00-00 = -
Michigan [26]	Ingham County [065]		Leroy [47080]	oy [47080] 2.MILES NE OF \		WEBBERVILLE		0.000000
33200106000B010 Highway agency district 6			Owner County Highway	wner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility		County Highway A	gency [02]	
Route 0 GRAMER ROAD			Toll On fre	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected RED CEDA			R RIVER	
Design - Steel continuation Stringer/Mu	nuous [4] ulti-beam or girder [02]	Design - approach Other	· [00]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1954 Skew angle 35 Historical significan	Structure F		[0000] ne NRHP. [5]	
Total length 36.5 m = 119.8 ft Length of maximum span 14 m = 45.9 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 7.3 m = 24.0 ft Curb or sidewa Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]				Deck width, out-to	9 m = 29.5 ft	Bridge road	dway width, curb-to-c	7.3 m = 24.0 ft 0.4 m = 1.3 ft
Type of wearing surface Deck protection Bituminous [6]								
Type of membrane/we	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length 0.6 km = 0.4 mi Method to determine inventory rating Method to determine operating rating		Allowable Stress(AS)		nventory rating 11.8 metric ton = 13.0 tons Operating rating 31.8 metric ton = 35.0 tons				
Bridge posting 10.0 - 19.9 % below [3]					Design Load M 18 / H 20 [4]			

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 1600 Average daily tru	ck traffi 0 % Year 1995 Future average daily traffic 1800 Year 2015								
Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 6.7 m = 22.0 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by								
Unknown [00]	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost								
	Length of structure improvement 0 m = 0.0 ft Total project cost								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 0								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state 0								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable crite	eria [8]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]							
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present desirable cri	iteria [8]	Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]					
Pier or abutment protection				47.4					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings	Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	ns								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date July 1997 [0797] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection	Unknown [N24]	Underwater inspec	ction date						
Fracture critical inspection	Unknown [N24]	Fracture critical inspection date							
Other special inspection									