The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							44-01-45 =	082-50-09 = -
Michigan [26]	Huron County [063]		Huron [40020]	SEC. 4 HURON TWP.			44.029167	82.835833
32312H00038B010 Highway agency district 4		Owner County Highway	Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility		County Highway Agency [02]			
Route 0 PIONEER DRIVE		Toll On fre	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected WILLOW R		IVER			
Design - Steel [3] main  2 Stringer/Mu	ulti-beam or girder [02]	Design - approach  O Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint Year built  1920  Skew angle  45  Historical significan	Structure F	constructed N/A	[0000] he NRHP. [5]	
Total length 25 m = 82.0 ft Length of maximum span 10.9 m = 35.8 ft Deck width, out-to-out 11.1 m = 36.4 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 9.1 m = 29.9 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 9.1 m = 29.9 ft		Curb or sidewalk w	Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft		Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft	
Deck structure type	Co	oncrete Cast-in-Plac	ce [1]					
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]		tuminous [6]						
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/we	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			Load Factor(LF) [1]		nventory rating	21.8 metric ton	= 24.0 tons	
1.4 km = 0.9 mi Method to determine operating rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]	(	Operating rating 50.8 metric ton =		55.9 tons		
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]					Design Load M	13.5 / H 15 [2]	-	

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 180 Average daily tr	uck traffi 3 % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 325 Year 2029								
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 11 m = 36.1 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  99.99 m = 328.1 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlin	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by								
	Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost								
	Length of structure improvement Total project cost								
	Year of improvement cost estimate								
	Border bridge - state  Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	ondition ratings - superstructur Good [7]		Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]						
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry							
Scour		Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]							
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequad	Equal to present desirable cri	iteria [8]	Status evaluation						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 79.6						
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	ıs								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends								
Inspection date August 2009	Designated inspe	Months							
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ction date						
•	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical inspection date							
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date						