The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							41-54-60 =	083-23-38 = -
Michigan [26]	Monroe County [115]		Monroe [55020]	IN MONROE			41.916667	83.393889
584450600081B01 Highway agency district 6		Owner City or Municipa	Owner City or Municipal Highway Agency [04] Maintenance responsibility		City or Municipal H	Highway Agency [04]		
Route 0 MACOMB STREET Toll On free road [3] Features intersected RIVER RAISIN								
Design - Concrete cont main Tee beam [04]		Design - approach 0 Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint 7 Year built 1920 Skew angle 8 Historical significance	Structure Fl	onstructed 1952 ared not eligible for the		
Total length 70.1 m = 230.0 ft Length of maximum span 28.7 m = 94.2 ft Deck width, out-to-out 17.2 m = 56.4 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 12.8 m = 42.0 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 12.8 m = 42.0 ft			Curb or sidewalk w	Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.8 m = 5.9 ft Curb or s		Curb or side	walk width - right	1.8 m = 5.9 ft
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]								
Type of wearing surface Latex Concrete or similar additive [3]								
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wearing surface								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		Allowable Stress(AS) [2] lı	nventory rating	24.5 metric ton =	27.0 tons		
0.3 km = 0.2 mi	Method to determ	nine operating rating	Allowable Stress(AS) [2]	perating rating	52.7 metric ton =	58.0 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]			egal loads [5]	С	Design Load MS 18+Mod / HS 20+Mod [6]			

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 10300 Average daily tr	uck traffi 7 % Year 1998 Future average daily	traffic 13800 Year 2017						
Road classification Collector (Urban) [17]	Lanes on structure 4	Approach roadway width 12.8 m = 42.0 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation co	ontrol						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 =	N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Don't and Double and Dive								
Repair and Replacement Plans	w							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by							
	Bridge improvement cost Road	dway improvement cost						
	Length of structure improvement	Total project cost						
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - superstructur	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present minimum crit	eria [6]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]	- rippraisarratings	Basically intolerable requiring	high priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings - deck	Poor [4]	deck geometry						
Scour		Countermeasures have been installed to mitigate an existing problem with scour. [7]						
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being eroochannel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Better than present minimun	n criteria [7]	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]				
Pier or abutment protection				39.5				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]								
Traffic safety features - transition	ns							
Traffic safety features - approach	h guardrail							
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends								
Inspection date August 2008 [0808] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months								
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	Underwater inspection date					
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical inspection date						
Other special inspection Other special inspection Other special inspection date								