The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							44-06-08 =	085-08-46 = -
Michigan [26]	6] Osceola County [133] Mai		Marion [51660]	Marion [51660] 0.1 MI E OF M-66		44.102222	85.146111	
674421267061B02 Highway agency district 2		Owner City or Municipal Highway Agency [04] Maintenance responsibility		City or Municipal	Highway Agency [04]			
Route 61		OLD M-61	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	eatures interse	cted MIDDLE BR	RANCH R	
Design - main  Concrete [1] Design - approach  Arch - Deck [11] 0 Other		Skew angle 15 Structure Flared			. ,			
Historical significance  Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5]  Total length  8.2 m = 26.9 ft  Length of maximum span 7.6 m = 24.9 ft  Deck width, out-to-out 35.7 m = 117.1 ft  Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 26.5 m = 86.9 ft  Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance  25.7 m = 84.3 ft  Curb or sidewalk width - left  4.6 m = 15.1 ft  Curb or sidewalk width - right								
Deck structure type  Type of wearing surface  Concrete Cast  Bituminous [6]		Concrete Cast-in-P Bituminous [6]	in-Place [1]					
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/we	aring surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length 0.6 km = 0.4 mi	Bypass, detour length  0.6 km = 0.4 mi  Method to determine inventory rating  Method to determine operating rating		Allowable Stress(AS) [2] Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		ventory rating perating rating	18.2 metric ton =		
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]			Design Load M 13.5 / H 15 [2]					

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 3600 Average daily tru	uck traffi 5 % Year 2008 Future average daily traffic	6000 Year 2028						
Road classification Minor Arterial (Rural) [06]	Lanes on structure 4	Approach roadway width 26.5 m = 86.9 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	Minimum vertical clea	erance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Don't and Double word Dlane								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 750000000 Roadway in	mprovement cost 100000000						
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 9.1 m = 29.9 ft	Total project cost 850000000						
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2005							
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - superstructur	ondition ratings - superstructur Poor [4]		Equal to present minimum cri	teria [6]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Superior to present desirable	criteria [9]				
Condition ratings - deck	Poor [4]	deck geometry						
Scour		Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]						
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present	minimum criteria [6]	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]				
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	50.3				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culve	rt. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings		npected feature meets currently acce	iture meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - transition	ns [	Not applicable or a safety feature is no	ole or a safety feature is not required. [N]					
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail I	Not applicable or a safety feature is no	le or a safety feature is not required. [N]					
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends	Not applicable or a safety feature is no	e or a safety feature is not required. [N]					
Inspection date April 2008 [0408] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months								
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	Underwater inspection date					
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins	spection date					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date						