## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information 00-00-00 = 000-00-00 = -									
Michigan [26]	Delta County [041]		Garden [31400]	arden [31400] AT FISHDAM PAI			0.000000	0.000000	
13407	Highway agency district 1			Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility		responsibility	County Highway Agency [02]		
Route 32 N-32 Toll On fro				free road [3]	ee road [3] Features intersected LITTLE FISHDAM RIVER				
Design - Concrete [1] main  1 Girder and flo	oorbeam system [03]	Design - approach  Other	· [00]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1920 Skew angle 0 Historical significa	Structure F		not determinable at	this time. [4]	
Total length 7.8 m = 25.6 ft Length of maximum span 6.6 m = 21.7 ft Deck width, out-to-out 6.7 m = 22.0 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 5.8 m = 19.0 ft									
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 5.7 m = 18.7 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft  Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]									
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]									
Deck protection									
Type of membrane/wearing surface									
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour length	Wichiod to determine inventory rating		No rating analysis performed [5]		Inventory rating	19.4 metric ton =	= 21.3 tons		
32 km = 19.8 mi  Method to determine operating rating  No rating analysis p			s performed [5]	Operating rating	32.4 metric ton =	= 35.6 tons			
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]					Design Load				

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 2 Average daily true	ck traffi 0 % Year 2007 Future average daily traffic 3 Year 2027							
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 3.7 m = 12.1 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3]  Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A  Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by							
	Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost							
	Length of structure improvement Total project cost							
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state  Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	ndition ratings - superstructur Good [7]		Equal to present desirable criteria [8]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]								
Scour	Bridge is scour critical; bridge	Bridge is scour critical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3]							
Channel and channel protection	Bank is beginning to slump. minor stream bed movement	Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present minimum cr	iteria [6]	Status evaluation						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 74.7						
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings	Inpected fea	ture meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - transition	ns								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends								
Inspection date  July 2011 [0	711] Designated inspe	ection frequency 24	Months						
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspe	ection date						
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in	nspection date						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date						