HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							46-16-40 =	089-18-32 = -
Michigan [26]	Gogebic County [053]		Watersmeet [84380]	Watersmeet [84380] SEC 21 WATERSMEET TWP		46.277778	89.308889	
3030 Highway agency district 1			Owner County Highwa	rner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility			County Highway A	gency [02]
Route 0 OLD US-2		Toll On fre	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected MIDDLE BR			R ONTONAGON RIV		
Design - main Concrete [1] Tee beam [04] Design - approach 0 O		approach	er [00]	Kilometerpoint 10 Year built 1927 Skew angle 0	31.3 km = 639.4 Year re	constructed		
				Historical significance	Bridge	is not eligible for t	the NRHP. [5]	
Total length 9.7 m = 31.8 ft Length of maximum span 9.1 m = 29.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 11.6 m = 38.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 10.7 m = 35.1 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 10.9 m = 35			.8 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or side			ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft	
Deck structure type Con-		Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]						
Type of wearing surface		Bituminous [6]						
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wea	aring surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length	Method to determi	ne inventory rating	g Allowable Stress(AS	S) [2] Inv	entory rating	20.9 metric ton	= 23.0 tons	
1.4 km = 0.9 mi	Method to determi	Method to determine operating rating		S) [2] Op	Operating rating 52.7 metric ton = 58.0 tons			
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]			De	sign Load M	13.5 / H 15 [2]			

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 13 Average daily tru	ck traffi 0 % Year 1998 Future average daily traffic	13 Year 2018					
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 8.5 m = 27.9 ft					
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median					
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bric	ge Minimum vertical clears	rance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft					
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Don's and Double and Disc.							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]						
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 163000 Roadway imp	provement cost 16000					
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 11.6 m = 38.1 ft	Total project cost 224000					
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state Border	rder bridge - percent responsibility of other state					
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Superior to present desirable criteria [9]						
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]								
Scour	Bridge is scour critical; bridge	Bridge is scour critical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3]							
Channel and channel protection	Bank is beginning to slump. Find minor stream bed movement	Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequace	Better than present minimum	criteria [7]	Status evaluation						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 73.3						
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	ns								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date September 2010 [0910] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ction date						
·	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical inspection date							
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date						