The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							42-37-07 =	083-20-03 = -
Michigan [26]	Oakland County	[125]	Sylvan Lake [77860]	0.6 MI N OF ORCHARD LAKE			42.618611	83.334167
635660600022B01 Highway agency district 7		Owner City or Municipa	Owner City or Municipal Highway Agency [04] Maintenance responsibility			City or Municipal H	Highway Agency [04]	
Route 0 PONTIAC RD		Toll On free road [3] Features intersected SYLVAN LA			KE			
Design - main Concrete [1] Design - approach Arch - Deck [11] 0 Other		[00]	Kilometerpoint 3 Year built #Num! Skew angle 0 Historical significance	Structure F		[0000] ne NRHP. [5]		
Total length 10.9 m = 35.8 ft Length of maximum span 8.5 m = 27.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 6.4 m = 21.0 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 5.5 m = 18.0 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 5.4 m = 17.7 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft								
Deck structure type Type of wearing surf	ace	Not applicable [N] Not applicable (applie	es only to structures with no	deck) [N]				
31 0		lies only to structures with no deck) [N]						
Type of membrane/wearing surface Not applicable (applies			es only to structures with no	deck) [N]				
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length 0 km = 0.0 mi Method to determine inventory ra Method to determine operating ra		, ,	· ·		Inventory rating 13.6 metric ton = 15.0 to Operating rating 16.4 metric ton = 18.0 to			
Bridge posting 10.0 - 19.9 % below [3]			ow [3]	С	esign Load MS	18+Mod / HS 20-	+Mod [6]	

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 50 Average daily tr	uck traffi 0 % Year 1978 Future average dail	ly traffic 50 Year 1977						
Road classification Local (Urban) [19]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 7.9 m = 25.9 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation of	control						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 =	= N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	Minimum vert	tical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Don't and Double word Dlane								
Repair and Replacement Plans	W. J. J. W. J.							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
	Bridge improvement cost Roa	adway improvement cost						
	Length of structure improvement 28.4 m = 93.2 ft	Total project cost						
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - superstructur Satisfactory [6]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present minimum cri	iteria [6]	Status evaluation						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 61.5						
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	ns								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date July 2008 [0708] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspe	ection date						
Fracture critical inspection Not needed [N]		Fracture critical inspection date							
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date						