## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

## 2011 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information 39-36-49 = 092-02-27 = -															
Missouri [29]		Monroe County [137]			Clay [14464]		S 32 T 56 N R 10 W				39.613611	92.040833			
24848		Highway	district 3	;	Owner County Highway Agency [02]			Agency [02]		Maintenance responsibility			County Highwa	County Highway Agency [02]	
Route 564 COUNTY RD 255			i		Toll	On free	e road [3]	Fe	atures interse	ected (	CROOKED (	CR			
Design - Steel [3] main 1 Truss - Thru [10]				Design - approachSteel [3]2Stringer/Mu			Ilti-beam or girder [02] Kilometer Year built Skew ang Historical			32.2 km = 20.0 mi         10       Year reconstructed N/A [0000]         Structure Flared         icance       Historical significance is not determinable at this time. [4]					
Total length       29.6 m = 97.1 ft       Length of maximum span       21.3 m = 69.9 ft       Deck width, out-to-out       3.6 m = 11.8 ft       Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb       3.3 m							o-curb 3.3 m = 10.8 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 3.3 m = 10.8 ft			0.8 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - left 0.2 m			m = 0.7	= 0.7 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0.2 m = 0.7 ft			0.2 m = 0.7 ft				
Deck structure type Concrete Cast			st-in-Plac	n-Place [1]											
Type of wearing surface															
Deck protection															
Type of membrane/wearing surface															
Weight Lir	mits														
		determir	rmine inventory rating			Allowable Stress(AS) [2]			Inve	ntory rating	11.7	metric ton =	= 12.9 tons		
0.3 km = 0.2 mi Method to determ			determin	mine operating rating			Allowable Stress(AS) [2]			Ope	rating rating	17.1	metric ton =	= 18.8 tons	
Bridge posting 30.0 - 39.9 % belo			9 % belov	w [1]				Desi	ign Load						

Functional Details					
Average Daily Traffic         10         Average daily tr	uck traffi 10 % Year 2010 Future average daily traffic 13 Year 2030				
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure1Approach roadway width4.3 m = 14.1 ft				
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]				
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure   0   Navigation control				
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A				
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge       Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway       99.99 m = 328.1 ft					
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	eature not a highway or railroad [N]				
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A					
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]				
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]					
Repair and Replacement Plans					
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]				
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost   284000   Roadway improvement cost   28000				
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement3.9 m = 12.8 ftTotal project cost426000				
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2010				
	Border bridge - state       Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state				
	Border bridge - structure number				

Inspection and Sufficiency										
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]							
Condition ratings - superstructur	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4] Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]							
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings -								
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry								
Scour	Bridge foundation	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]								
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection i channel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]								
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	cy Somewhat bette in place as is [5]	r than minimum adequacy to tolerate	being left Status evaluation Structurally deficient [1]							
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 20							
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culve	ert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings										
Traffic safety features - transition	IS	Not applicable or a safety feature is n	ot required. [N]							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail	Not applicable or a safety feature is n	ot required. [N]							
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends       Not applicable or a safety feature is not required. [N]										
Inspection date July 2010 [0710] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months										
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspe	action date							
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in	Fracture critical inspection date July 2010 [0710]							
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date							