The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							40-30-31 =	074-47-08 = -	
New Jersey [34]	Somerset County [0	035]	Hillsborough [31890]	llsborough [31890] NORTH OF THREE BRIDGES			40-50-51 =	74.785556	
18A0601 Highway agency district 2			Owner County Highway	Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility			County Highway A	Agency [02]	
Route 0	GINSVILLE ROAD	Toll On fre	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected S.BRANCH			RARITAN RIVER			
Design - main Steel [3] Truss - Thru	J [10]	Design - approach O Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint Year built 186 Skew angle 0 Historical signif	Structure F				
Historical significance Bridge is eligible for the NRHP. [2] Total length 32 m = 105.0 ft Length of maximum span 31.1 m = 102.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.9 m = 16.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.6 m = 15.1 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.6 m = 15.1 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left O m = 0.0 ft									
Deck structure type Wood or Timber [8] Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]									
Deck protection Type of membrane/wearing surface Preformed Fabric [2]									
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour length 0.3 km = 0.2 mi Method to determine inventory rating Method to determine operating rating		·	Allowable Stress(AS) [2] Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		ventory rating 16.3 metric ton = 17.9 tons perating rating 28.1 metric ton = 30.9 tons				
Bridge posting 00.1 - 09.9 % below [4]			ow [4]		Design Load M	13.5 / H 15 [2]			

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 620 Average daily tr	uck traffi 1 % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 830 Year 2029							
Road classification Local (Urban) [19]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 6.4 m = 21.0 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 3.79 m = 12.4 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
D : 10 1 10								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 1644000 Roadway improvement cost 40000							
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 41.8 m = 137.1 ft Total project cost 2146000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2009							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for load [P]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - superstructur Satisfactory [6]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action			igh priority of corrrective action [3]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically into	olerable requiring h	igh priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]								
Scour		Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is in need of a Banks and/or channel have m	Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Better than present minimum	criteria [7]	Si	tatus evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]				
Pier or abutment protection			Si	ufficiency rating	43.8				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	OS								
Traffic safety features - approach									
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date November 2009 [1109] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspection date							
•	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins		November 2009					
Other special inspection	Every year [Y12]	Other special insp	ection date	[1109]					