The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information 40-41-30 = 075-12-18 = -											
Pennsylvania [42] Northampton County [095]		Easton [2	Easton [21648] CI		CITY OF EASTON			40.691667	75.205000		
487302999200050 Highway age			cy district 5	Owner	Local Toll Authority [32]			Maintenance responsibility		Private (other than railroad) [26]	
Route 22 US 22(ALT)(LR 175)				Toll On fre	e road [3]		Features interse	ected DELAWAR	E RIVER		
		Design - approach Other	Other [00] Kilome Year b Skew a			1896 Year reconstructed 2002					
Total length 170.7 m = 560.1 ft Length of maximum span 91.4 m = 299.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 10.1 m = 33.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 9.8 m = 32.2 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 9.8 m = 32.2 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 2.4 m = 7.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 2.4 m = 7.9 ft											
Deck structure type Open Grating [3] Type of wearing surface Deck protection Type of membrane/wearing surface In the content of the conte											
Weight Limits Bypass, detour length 0.1 km = 0.1 mi Method to determine invento Method to determine operation Bridge posting			,	_	rating analysis perating analysis pe		C	nventory rating Operating rating Design Load M	5.4 metric ton = 10 metric ton = 18 / H 20 [4]		

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 31769 Average daily tr	uck traffi 9 % Year 1993 Future average daily traffic 36256 Year 2008								
Road classification Principal Arterial - Other Freeways or Exp Lanes on structure 3 Approach roadway width 9.8 m = 32.2 ft									
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5] Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control									
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 5 m = 16.4 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by owner's forces [2]								
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0								
actorioration or intaroquate strongen [66]	Length of structure improvement 178 m = 584.0 ft Total project cost 1000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate								
	Border bridge - state Unknown [342] Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number 0								

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Posted for Io	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]					
Condition ratings - superstructur	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge is scour critic	cal; bridge foundations determined	ed to be unstable. [3]					
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present de	Equal to present desirable criteria [8] Status evaluation						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 20.5					
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings	Inp	ected feature meets currently acce	ceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - transition	Inp	ected feature meets currently acce	ceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail Inp	pected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends								
Inspection date June 2008 [0	Design	ated inspection frequency 24	Months Months					
·	Unknown [Y48]	Underwater inspe						
·	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	spection date					