The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							40-34-21 =	074-52-04 = -	
New Jersey [34] Hunterdon County [019]			Readington [62250] 0.4 MI WEST OF NJ RT 31			40.572500	74.867778		
10XX179 Highway agency district 2			Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility			County Highway Agency [02]			
Route 0	ST	TANTON STATION RD	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	Features intersected S BRANCH RARITAN RIVER				
Design - Steel [3]		Design - approach			km = 0.0 mi				
1 Truss - Thr	ıı [10]	0 Other	[00]	Year built 1880	Year re	constructed 1990			
111033 - 1111	u [10]	Other	[00]	Skew angle 0	Structure F	Flared			
				Historical significand	e Bridge	is eligible for the N	RHP. [2]		
Total length 31.4 m	= 103.0 ft	Length of maximum spa	an 31.1 m = 102.0 ft	Deck width, out-to-	out 4.9 m = 16.1	ft Bridge road	way width, curb-to-c	curb 4.5 m = 14.8 ft	
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.5 m = 14.8 ft		Curb or sidewalk w	Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or s			walk width - right	0  m = 0.0  ft		
Deck structure type		Corrugated Steel [6]							
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]									
Deck protection									
Type of membrane/wearing surface Unknown [8]									
Weight Limits	h								
Bypass, detour length  0.8 km = 0.5 mi  Method to determine inventory rating		Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		nventory rating	11.8 metric ton =	13.0 tons			
Method to determine operation			Allowable Stress(AS	() [2]	Operating rating 17.2 metric to		= 18.9 tons		
Bridge posting 30.0 - 39.9 % below [1]					esign Load				

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 730 Average daily tr	uck traffi 0 % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 1000 Year 2029								
Road classification Local (Urban) [19]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 5.5 m = 18.0 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3]  Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc  0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  4.12 m = 13.5 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 30.5 m = 100.1 ft  Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 1170000 Roadway improvement cost 40000								
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 31.4 m = 103.0 ft Total project cost 1719000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2009								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Posted for load [P]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings - superstructur Poor [4]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment					
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically into	igh priority of replacement [2]			
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]						
Scour	Bridge is scour critical; bridge	foundations determined	to be unstable. [:	3]			
Channel and channel protection	Bank is beginning to slump. I minor stream bed movement	River control devices and evident. Debris is restrict	embankment pri ling the channel	otection have wide slightly. [6]	espread minor damage. There is		
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Equal to present minimum cri	iteria [6]	St	tatus evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]		
Pier or abutment protection			Su	ufficiency rating	5.4		
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings							
Traffic safety features - transitions							
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail						
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail ends						
Inspection date May 2009 [0	Designated inspe	ection frequency 24	Mon	ths			
Underwater inspection							
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins	nspection date May 2009 [0				
Other special inspection	Every year [Y12]	Other special inspection date May 2009 [0509]					