The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information 38-52-12 = 082-47-12 = -														
Ohio [39]	Ohio [39] Scioto County [145]				Bloom [06992] 04.35 MI. N OF CR 12				38.870000					
7331835		Н	Highway agency district 9			Owner County Highway Agency [02]		2]	Maint	Maintenance responsibility		County Highw	ray Agency [02]	
Route #Num! NO DATA					Toll On fre	e road [3]		Features	intersec	ted LITTLE SC	CIOTO RIVER			
			Design - approach	Other [00] Y			Kilometerpoint 0 km = 0.0 mi  Year built 1929 Year reconstructed 1994  Skew angle 0 Structure Flared  Historical significance Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5]							
Total length 27.7 m = 90.9 ft Length of maximum span 26.8 m = 87.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.9 m = 16.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.3 m = 14.1 ft  Om = 0.0 ft  Curb or sidewalk width - left Om = 0.0 ft														
Deck structure type Wood or Timber [8]														
Type of wearing surface Other [9]														
Deck protection														
Type of membrane/wearing surface														
Weight Li	imits													
Bypass, o	detour lengtl 0.1 mi	Method to determine inventory rating  Method to determine operating rating			, 0		rating analysis pe			Inventory ra	O	3.6 metric ton =		
Bridge postino				g						Design Loa	ad			

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 200 Average daily tr	ruck traffi 5 % Year 1991 Future average daily traffic 269 Year 2020								
Road classification Local (Rural) [09] Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 5.5 m = 1									
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control Not applicable, no waterway. [N]								
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  99.99 m = 328.1 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost \$125,000 Roadway improvement cost \$13,000								
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 27.4 m = 89.9 ft \$150,000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 1992								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency										
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]							
Condition ratings - superstructur	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]							
Condition ratings - substructure	Very Good [8]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]							
Condition ratings - deck	Very Good [8]	deck geometry								
Scour		Scour calculation/evaluation has not been made. [6]								
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]								
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Basically intolerable requiring	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]  Status evaluation								
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 21.5							
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]										
Traffic safety features - railings										
Traffic safety features - transition	ns									
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail									
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends										
Inspection date October 1999 [1099] Designated inspection frequency 12 Months										
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspection date								
•	Not needed [N]									
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date							