HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							38-53-54 =	083-12-24 = -		
Ohio [39] Scioto County [145]		Brush Creek [09764]	Brush Creek [09764] 00.10 MI. W OF SR73			38.898333	83.206667			
7332785 Highway agency district 9		Owner County Highway	Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance response		eresponsibility	County Highway Agency [02]				
Route #Num! LAUREL FORK ROAD			Toll On free road [3] Features intersected LAUREL FC			RK RD (DRY RUN)				
Design - Steel [3] main 1 Truss - Thr	u [10]	Design - approach Other	· [00]	Kilometerpoint						
Total length 15.5 m = 50.9 ft Length of maximum span 14.9 m = 48.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 5.5 m = 18.0 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.7 m = 15.4 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.7 m = 15.4 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft										
Deck structure type Wood or Timber [8]										
Type of wearing surfa	ce	Other [9]								
Deck protection Type of membrane/wearing surface										
Weight Limits										
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			No rating analysis pe	erformed [5] Inve	entory rating	9.1 metric ton =	10.0 tons			
19.9 km = 12.3 mi	Method to determ	mine operating rating	No rating analysis pe	erformed [5] Op	erating rating	13.6 metric ton =	= 15.0 tons			
Bridge posting										

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 89 Average daily tr	uck traffi 0 % Year 2004 Future average daily traffic 124 Year 2031								
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 4.9 m = 16.1 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost \$82,000 Roadway improvement cost \$8,000								
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 15.5 m = 50.9 ft Total project cost \$102,000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2005								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for o	other load-capacity restrict	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - superstructure Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - substructure Poor [4]		Appraisal ratings -	Better than present minimum criteria [7]						
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundation	ns determined to be stable for assesse	ed or calculated scour condition. [5]						
Channel and channel protection		to slump. River control devices and I movement evident. Debris is restrict	d embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is sting the channel slightly. [6]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequa	cy Meets minimum t	tolerable limits to be left in place as is	Status evaluation Structurally deficient [1]						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 21.1						
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings	eptable standards. [1]								
Traffic safety features - transition	ns								
Traffic safety features - approach	ch guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date October 2012 [1012] Designated inspection frequency 12 Months									
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec							
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins							
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	pection date						

Unit of Measure: English **Bridge Inventory Information**

Slope Protection: NONE

Inventory Bridge Number: SCI TR079 00100 N

Report Date: 02-10-2015 BM-191 Page: 1 of 2 BR. Type: STEEL/TRUSS/PONY (TRUSS)

Structure File Number: 7332785 Sufficiency Rating: 021.1 SD R

ROUTE CARRIED "ON" THE STRUCTURE LAUREL FORK RD (DRY RUN)

Structure i lie Murriber. 7552765				inventory bit	ige Number: SCLTRU	79 00 100 N		DR. Type: OTELL/TROOS/FORT (TROOS
Sufficiency Rating: 021.1 SD			ROUTE CARE	RIED "ON" TH	E STRUCTURE LAUR	REL FORK RD (DRY F	lUN)	Date of Last Inventory Update
District: 09			County: SCIOTO		(101) Locatio	n: 00.10 MI. W OF SR	73	(102) Facility Carried: LAUREL FORK ROAD
(2) FIPS Code: SCI-T-09764-BRU	ISH CREEK TWP				(103) Route (On Bridge: COUNTY	(104) Route Under Bridge: NON HIGHWAY TRAFFIC ON BRIDG
(9) Direction of Traffic: ONE LANE BRIDGE FOR 2-WAY (10) Temporary: N			(11) Truck Ne	etwork: N	(12)	Parallel: N		
					(100) Type S	erv: (On): HIGHWAY	(Und	er): WATERWAY
	Inventory Route	Data		(63) Main Spa	ans Number: 1	Type: ST	EEL/TRUSS/PONY (TI	RUSS)
(3) Route On/Under: ROUTE CAR	RRIED "ON" THE STR	Hwy Sys: COUNTY	HIGHWAY (TOWNS	1	ans Number: 0		NE/NONE/NONE	
	T APPLICABLE	Des: MAINLINE	Pref: N	Total Spans:		= -	Span: 49 Ft	(66) Overall Leng: 51 Ft
(4) Feature Intersected: LAUREL	FORK RD (DRY RUN)		(70) Substruc			and Scour Information	(117 - 117 - 177 -
	e: 00100	Special Desig: N		Abut-Rear	Matl: STONE	Type: GRAVITY		Fnd: OTHER
(6)Avg. Daily Traffic(ADT): 89		(7) ADT Year: 2004		Abut-Fwd	Matl: STONE	Type: GRAVITY		Fnd: OTHER
	HS: NON-NHS BRG E	• •		Pier-Pred	Matl: NONE	Type: NONE		Fnd: NONE (SUCH AS MOST CULVERTS)
(16) Functional Class: RURAL - LOCAL		(19) Strahnt: NON-STF	RAHNET BRIDGES	Pier-Other	Matl: NONE	Type: NONE		Fnd: NONE (SUCH AS MOST CULVERTS)
(10)	Intersected Rout			Pier-Other	Matl: NONE	Type: NONE		Fnd: NONE (SUCH AS MOST CULVERTS)
(22) Route On/Under:		Hwy Sys:				- ·		
Route No: Dir:		Des:	Pref:	No of Piers P		Other:	ID \4/171	Other:
(23) Feature Intersected:		200.	1 101.	1	/elocity: 00000		JR WITHIN LIMITS OF	
(24) County: Mileage: 0	000	Special Desig:		(189) Dive: N	·	Probe: N Freq: 0		(75) Chan Prot: NONE
(25)Avg. Daily Traffic(ADT):	000			(189) Date of	last Dive Insp:	(152) Drainage A		
I' ' '' ' '		(26) ADT Year:		(450) 14: 41			learance Under the E	
(27) Truck Traf: (28) NHS:	-	(29) Corridor: N		1	oriz Under Clear:	NC: 0.0) Ft	Card: 0.0 Ft
(30) Functional Class:	Clearance On the	(36) Strahnt:		` '	ax Vrt Under Clear:	0.0 Ft		
(454) Min Hriz on Bridge	NC: 0.0	Card: 15.5	-4	(77) Min Vert	Under Clear:	NC: 0.0		Card: 0.0 Ft
(154) Min. Hriz on Bridge:		Calu. 15.51	- ((78) Min Lat			0/0.0 Ft	Card: 0.0/0.0 Ft
(155) Prac Max Vert On Brg:	9999.9 Ft	0	0.54		Load Ra	ating Information		(88-89) Appraisal
(67) Min Vrt Clr On Brg:	NC: 0.0	Card: 9999		(48) Design L	oad: UNKNOWN			(Including calculated Items)
(80) Min Latl Clr:	NC: 0.0/0.0 Ft	Card: 7.4/7.	4 Ft	Opr Rat Fact	: 0.420 LD:			
(81) Vrt Clr Lft:	0.0 Ft			Inv Rat Fact:	0.280 LD:			
	Information			(83) Ohio Pe	rcent of Legal Load: 25	5		(88) Waterway Adequacy: 4
(38) Bypass Length: 12 Miles				Year of Ratin	g: 2013			(89) Approach Alignment: 4
(39) Latitude: 38 Deg 53 Min 52.4		e: 83 Deg 12 Min 22.	22 Sec	(84) Analysis	: FIELD EVALUATION	AND DOCUMENTED	ENGINEER	Calc Gen Appraisal: 2
(40) Toll: ON FREE ROAD, THE S	STRUCTU			(85) Rate So	ft: COMBINATION			Calc Deck Geometry: 7
(41) Date Built: 7/1/1909 (42) Major Rehabilitation:		Analysis on E	Bars: NOT ON BARS [I	DEFAULT]		Calc Underclearance: N		
(43) No. Lanes On: 1	3) No. Lanes On: 1 No. Lanes Under: 0		PE#: 75295	TIMOTHY CARROLL				
(44) Horiz Curve: 00D00M (45) Skew: 0 Deg						Approach Informat	ion	
(49) App. Rdw Width: 16 Ft	(50) Brg.	Rdw Width: 15.5 Ft		(109) Approa	ch Guardrail: NONE			
(51) Deck Width: 18.0 Ft	Deck Are	ea: 915 Sq. Ft			ch Pavement: OTHER			(111) Grade: GOOD
(52) Median Type: NONE/NON BA	ARRIER/NO JOINT			(110)) ([[]			Culvert Information	· · ·
(53) Bridge Median: NO MEDIAN				(131) Culvert	Type: NOT A CULVE	RT OR RIGID FRAME		(127) Length: 0.0 Ft
(54) Sidewalks:	(left) 0.0	Ft (right)	0.0 Ft	(129) Depth (TOTAL TOTAL TOTAL		(130) Headwalls: NONE OR NOT APPLICABLE (NOT A CL
(55) Type Curb or Sidewalks:				(129) Deptil (51 T III. 0.0 T t		General Information	
(Left) Matl: NONE	Type: NO	ONE OR N/A (RR, PE	DESTRIAN, ETC.)	(121) Main M	lombor DOLLED STE	F1	General Information	(122) Moment Plate: NO MOMENT PLATES
(Right) Matl: NONE	Type: NO	ONE OR N/A (RR, PE	DESTRIAN, ETC.)		lember: ROLLED STE	EL		(122) Moment Plate: NO MOMENT PLATES
(56) Flared: N		nposite: X - NOT APF			sion Joint: NONE			
(58) Railing: STEEL GUARDRAIL		•		1	Devices: OTHER			H : 01 00 F:
(59) Deck Drainage: OTHER (NAT					tion: Control-N		Vert Clr: 0.0 Ft	Horiz Clear: 0.0 Ft
(60) Deck Type: LAMINATED TIM				(193) Spec Ir			Freq: 0	Date:
(61) Deck Protection: External: NO		ARI E		1	e Critical Insp: Y		Freq: 24	Date: 1/6/2015
	E OR NOT APPLICAE				lember: TWO TRUSSE			(135) Hinges: NOT APPLICABLE (STRUCTURES WITH NO
(62) Wearing Surface: CHIP & SE		,		(141) Structu	ral Steel Memb: UNKN	IOWN		(139) Framing: NONE OR NOT APPLICABLE
l'''		1/1000						Railing: U
Thickness: 2.0 in (119) Date o	f Wearing Surface: 1/	1/1333		Pay Wt: 0 po	unds		Prime Loc: UNKNOWN	Paint: OTHER PAINT

Bridge Dedicated Name:

Unit of Measure: English Structure File Number: 7332785

Bridge Inventory Information Inventory Bridge Number: SCI TR079 00100 N Report Date: 02-10-2015 BM-191 Page: 2 of 2 BR. Type: STEEL/TRUSS/PONY (TRUSS)

ROUTE CARRIED "ON" THE STRUCTURE LAUREL FORK RD (DRY RUN)

Sufficiency Rating: 021.1 SD **Date of Last Inventory Update: General Information (Continued) Original Plans Information** (---) Hist Significance: NOT ELIGIBLE (69) NBIS: Y (142) Fabricator: (---) Hist Builder: UNKNOWN Hist Build Year: 1909 (143) Contractor: (144) Ohio Original Construction Project No: (69) Hist Type: WARREN (RIVETED) (161) Special Features (see below): (---) Microfilm Reel: (151) Standard Drawing: (105) Border Bridge State: Resp: %(106) SFN: **Programming Info Proposed Improvements** Aperture Cards: Orig: N Repair: N Fabr: N (90) Type Work: 31 - REPLACEMENT - LOAD/GEOMETRY PID Number: Plan Information Available: 2 FIELD MEASURED INFORMATION FOR LOAD RAT PID Status: (153) Repair Projects: (90) Length: 51.0 Ft PID Date: 1) 930000 / 020 (90) Bridge Cost (\$1000s): 82

(91) Future ADT (On Bridge): 124 (92) Year of Future ADT: 2031 Utilities **Inspection Summary** (I-69) Survey Items **Special Features** Railings: (I-8) Deck: 6 MEETS ACCEPTABLE STANDARDS (46) Electric: Ν (161) Liahtina: Ν (I-32) Superstructure: 5 Transitions: DOES NOT MEET ACCEPTABLE STANDA Ν Ν Gas: Fencing: (I-42) Substructure: 4 Guardrail: DOES NOT MEET ACCEPTABLE STANDA Sanitary Sewer: Ν Glare-Screen: Ν (I-50) Culvert: Ν Rail Ends: DOES NOT MEET ACCEPTABLE STANDA Telephone: Ν Splash-Guard: Ν 6 (I-54) Channel: In Depth: TV Cable: Ν Catwalks: Ν (I-60) Approaches: 4 Fracture Critical: Water: Ν Other-Feat: Ν Scour Critical (I-66) General Appraisal: 4 Other: Ν (184)Sians-On: Ν Critical Findings: (I-66) Operational Status: Signs-Under Ν Inspection Date: 1/6/2015 Insp. Update Date: 1/6/2015 (162)Fence-Ht 0.0 (94) Desig Insp Freq 12 Months (163) Noise Barr Ν SFNs Replacing this retired bridge: SFNs That were replaced by this bridge: This bridge was retired and copied to: INV Field Bridge Marker: SCI - TR079 - 0010 - N The bridge was copied from: INT Field Bridge Marker: - - 0000 -(95) Insp: COUNTY AGENCY 2nd: NONE 3rd: NONE

PONTIS CoRe elements and Conditions States

(96) Maint: COUNTY AGENCY

(97) Routine: COUNTY AGENCY

(90) Roadway Cost (\$1000s): 8 (90) Total Project Cost (\$1000s): 102

Elem No.	CoRe Element Description	Total Quantity	Unit Meas.	Condition State Percents(*)				
				1	2	3	4	5
(*) Percentages should add to 1							to 100%	

3rd: NONE 3rd: NONE

2nd: NONE

2nd: NONE

(90) Year: 1979

STATE OF OHIO DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION **BRIDGE INSPECTION REPORT**

STRUCTURE FILE NUMBER: 7332785

00100 SCI-T-09764-BRUSH CREEK TWP DATE BUILT 07/01/1909 TR079 <u>SCI</u> Route SLM SCI District 09 STEEL/TRUSSPONY (TRUSS) Type of Service 1 15 LAUREL FORK RD (DRY RUN) **DECK** Out/Out 18.0 THCK= 2.0 1. Floor 2 2. Wearing Surface 3 2-LAMINATED TIMBER STRIP **B-CHIP & SEAL - OVERLAY** N-NONE W.S. Date = 01/01/1999 3. Curbs, Sidewalks & Walkways 4. Median N-NONE N-NO MEDIAN 7-STEEL GUARDRAIL ON STEEL, CONCRETE OR 2 0-OTHER (NATURAL-OFF THE BRIDGE ENDS) 2 5. Railing 6. Drainage 6 N-NONE 8. SUMMARY 7. Expansion Joints Deck Area: 915 **SUPERSTRUCTURE** 9. Alignment of Members MAX.SPAN.LENGTH = 49 10. Beams/Girders/Slab 1-ROLLED STEEL 1 11. Diaphragms or Cross Frames TOT.LGTH = 51 12. Joist/Stringers 2 13. Floorbeams 1 14 Floorbeam Connections 15. Verticals 2 16. Diagonals 2 2 17. End posts 18. Upper Chord 19. Lower Chord 2 20. Gusset Plates 2 21. Lateral Bracing 3 22. Sway Bracing 0-OTHER 23. Portals 1 24. Bearing Devices 25. Arch 26. Arch Columns or Hangers TYPE: 00THER PAINT DATE = 01/01/1993 28. Protective Coating System (PCS) 27. Spandrel Walls 4 1 29. Pins/Hangers/Hinges YEAR: 2004 ADT: 89 TRUCK: 1 Fatigue Prone Detail (E & E') S 32. SUMMARY 5 31. Live Load Response (E or S) **SUBSTRUCTURE** # OF SPANS= PIERS= 1-STONE 2 33. Abutments 3 34. Abutment Seats 35. Piers TYPE = N-NONE 36. Pier Seats ABUTMENT:=OTHER/OTHER 37. Backwalls 38. Wingwalls 2 5-SCOUR WITHIN LIMITS OF FOOTING 39. Fenders and Dolphins 40. Scour (Insp Type - 1, 2, 3) 2 41. Slope Protection N-NONE 42. SUMMARY DIVE DT= N/A 4 **CULVERTS** 43. General 44. Alignment 46. Seams 45. Shape 47. Headwalls or Endwalls 48. Scour (Insp Type - 1, 2, 3) 50. SUMMARY 49. Abutments Ν CHANNEL 51. Alignment 2 52. Protection N-NONE 53. Hydraulic Opening 2 54. SUMMARY 6 APPROACHES 0-OTHER 2 55. Pavement 56. Approach Slabs 57. Guardrail N-NONE 58. Relief Joint 59. Embankment BRDG.WIDTH=15.5 60. SUMMARY PCT.LEGAL= 25 4 **GENERAL** ROUTINE.RESP: 3-COUNTY AGENCY 61. Navigation Lights 62. Warning Signs MAINT.RESP: 3-COUNTY AGENCY 63. Sign Supports MVC ON=9999 UND=0000 64. Utilities 65. Vertical Clearance (1, 2-change, N) 66. General Appraisal & Operational Status 4 67. INSPECTED BY **68. REVIEWED BY** JD 65,493 CO Initial **PE Number** Initial PE Number Print First & Last Name Print First & Last Name Inspected Date: 1/6/2015 1 O 0 **Reviewed Date: 1/12/2015** 0

69. Survey (1, 0, N)