The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information						40-27-12 =	079-59-30 = -
Pennsylvania [42] Allegheny	County [003]	Pittsburgh [61000]	AL05 16TH ST BRIDG	SE .		40.453333	79.991667
027301000020150 High	nway agency district 11	Owner County Highway	Agency [02]	Maintenance i	responsibility	County Highway A	agency [02]
Route 0	SIXTEENTHSTREET BR	Toll On free	e road [3] Fe	atures intersect	ed SMALLMAN	ST, ALLEG. RIV.	
Design - Main Steel [3] Arch - Thru [12]	Design - approach 30 String	[3] er/Multi-beam or girder [02]	Kilometerpoint 0 km Year built 1922 Skew angle 0 Historical significance	Structure Fla	onstructed 2003 ared on the NRHP. [1		
Total length 579.1 m = 1900.0 f Inventory Route, Total Horizontal		an 133.2 m = 437.0 ft Curb or sidewalk wi	Deck width, out-to-out	18.2 m = 59.7	ft Bridge road		11.6 m = 38.1 ft 1.9 m = 6.2 ft
Deck structure type	Closed Grating [4]						
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]							
Deck protection	Epoxy Coated Reinfo	rcing [1]					
Type of membrane/wearing surfa	се						
Weight Limits							
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]		ntory rating	37.2 metric ton =	40.9 tons	
0.3 km = 0.2 mi Method to determine operating rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]	Ope	rating rating	61.7 metric ton = 67.9 tons		
Bridge	posting Equal to or above le	egal loads [5]	Desi	ign Load M 13	3.5 / H 15 [2]		

Functional Details					
Average Daily Traffic 14656 Average daily tr	uck traffi 10 % Year 2006 Future average daily tra	affic 16122 Year 2026			
Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]	Lanes on structure 4	Approach roadway width 11.6 m = 38.1 ft			
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median			
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]				
Type of service under bridge Highway-waterway [6]	Lanes under structure 24 Navigation control	Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]			
Navigation vertical clearanc 168.3 m = 552.2 ft	Navigation horizontal clearance 1718.5	5 m = 5638.4 ft			
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 5 m = 16.4 ft					
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature H	ighway beneath structure [H]				
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlin	nited Minimum lateral unc	derclearance on left 0 = N/A			
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 3 m = 9.8 ft	Minimum vertical underclearance reference	ce feature Highway beneath structure [H]			
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Basically intoler	able requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]				
Danis and Danis and Disco					
Repair and Replacement Plans	w.,				
Type of work to be performed	Work done by				
	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadwa	ay improvement cost 33000			
	Length of structure improvement 0 m = 0.0 ft	Total project cost 124000			
	Year of improvement cost estimate				
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state			
	Border bridge - structure number				

Inspection and Sufficiency						
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]			
Condition ratings - superstructur	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment				
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]			
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry				
Scour	Bridge foundations (etermined to be stable for assess	sed or calculated s	cour condition. [5]		
Channel and channel protection		slump. River control devices and ovement evident. Debris is restric		tection have widespread minor damage. lightly. [6]	There is	
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Superior to present	desirable criteria [9]	Sta	tus evaluation		
Pier or abutment protection None present but re-evaluation		evaluation suggested [5]	Suf	ficiency rating 57.6		
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert.	N]				
Traffic safety features - railings	Inp	cted feature meets currently acco	eptable standards.	[1]		
Traffic safety features - transitions Inpected features		cted feature meets currently acco	sture meets currently acceptable standards. [1]			
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail Inpected		cted feature meets currently acco	feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]			
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends					
Inspection date March 2008	[0308] Designa	ted inspection frequency 24	Month	ns		
Unknown [Y48]		Underwater inspe		August 2008 [0808]		
Fracture critical inspection Every two years [Y24]		Fracture critical in		March 2008 [0308]		
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	pection date				