HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							46-29-43 =	084-20-57 = -
Michigan [26]	chigan [26] Chippewa County [033]		Sault Ste. Marie [71740] IN SAULT STE MARIE			46.495278	84.349167	
1570 Highway agency district 1			Owner State Highway A	Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility			State Highway Agency [01]	
Route 75 I-75 BS (ASHMUN)		Toll On free road [3] Features intersected POWER CA			ANAL			
Design - Steel [3] main 1 Arch - Thru [1	12]	Design - approach Other	er [00]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1934 Skew angle 0	2777.1 km = 1721 Year re Structure F	constructed 1998	8	
				Historical significa	nce Bridge	s on the NRHP. [1]	
Total length 78.3 m = 256.9 ft Length of maximum span 78.3 m = 256.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 18.9 m = 62.0 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 12.8 m = 42.0 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 16.4 m = 53.8 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.8 m = 5.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 1.8 m = 5.9 ft								1.8 m = 5.9 ft
Deck structure type Concrete Ca		ncrete Cast-in-Pl	Cast-in-Place [1]					
Type of wearing surface Meaning surface		Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]						
Deck protection E		Epoxy Coated Reinforcing [1]						
Type of membrane/wear	ring surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length	Method to determine	ne inventory ratin	g Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inventory rating	35.6 metric ton	= 39.2 tons	
0.3 km = 0.2 mi Method to det		ne operating ratin	Load Factor(LF) [1]		Operating rating	59.4 metric ton	= 65.3 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]					Design Load M	18 / H 20 [4]		

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 10180 Average daily tr	uck traffi 3 % Year 2007 Future average daily traffic 17788 Year 2018						
Road classification Other Principal Arterial (Urban)	[14] Lanes on structure 3 Approach roadway width 16.5 m = 54.1 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 5.54 m = 18.2 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	eature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by						
	Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost						
	Length of structure improvement Total project cost						
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Better than present minimum criteria [7]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundation	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Superior to pres	ent desirable criteria [9]	Status evaluation						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 76.2						
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culv	ert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings		Inpected feature meets currently acce	ceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - transition	าร	Not applicable or a safety feature is no	not required. [N]						
Traffic safety features - approach	h guardrail	Not applicable or a safety feature is no	not required. [N]						
Traffic safety features - approach	h guardrail ends	Not applicable or a safety feature is no	not required. [N]						
Inspection date June 2010 [0	0610] Des	ignated inspection frequency 24	4 Months						
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ection date						
Fracture critical inspection	Unknown [Y15]	Fracture critical in:	July 2011 [0711]						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	spection date						