The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation									43-05-19 =	085-14-05 = -
Michigan [26] Ionia County [067]			Belding [Belding [06900] IN BELDING					43.088611	85.234722	
34134081000R010 Highway agency d			cy district 3	Owner State Highway Agency [01]				Maintenance	e responsibility	State Highway Agency [01]	
Route 44 M-44, M-91				Toll On fre	e road [3]		Features interse	cted MID MICH F	RR		
Design - main Steel continuous [4] Design - approach Girder and floorbeam system [03] 0 Other		her [00]	Kilometerpoint 628.3 km = 389.5 mi Year built 1950 Year reconstructed 1998 Skew angle 0 Structure Flared Historical significance Bridge is on the NRHP. [1]								
Total length 126.2 m = 414.1 ft Length of maximum span 39.6 m = 129.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 12.9 m = 42.3 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 8.5 m = 27.9 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 11.9 m = 39.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.7 m = 5.6 ft											
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]											
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete (concurrently place					tly placed with str	uctural deck	:) [1]				
Deck protection Epoxy Coated Reinfor			inforcing [1]								
Type of membrane/wearing surface											
Weight Li	imits										
			determine inventory rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]		In	ventory rating	58.4 metric ton =	= 64.2 tons	
0.6 km = 0.4 mi		Method to deterr	ting Loa	Load Factor(LF) [1]		0	Operating rating 92.5 metric ton = 101.8 tons				
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]						D	esign Load MS	S 22.5 / HS 25 [9]			

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 9043 Average daily tr	ruck traffi 4 % Year 2007 Future average daily traffic 11854 Year 2018							
Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 12.8 m = 42.0 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Railroad-waterway [7]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Railroad beneath structure [R]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 8.3 m = 27.2 ft Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Railroad beneath structure [R]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by							
	Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost							
	Length of structure improvement Total project cost							
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - deck	Very Good [8]	deck geometry							
Scour	Countermeasure	ountermeasures have been installed to mitigate an existing problem with scour. [7]							
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Somewhat bette in place as is [5]	r than minimum adequacy to tolerate I	e being left Status evaluation						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 78.8						
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culve	ert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings		Inpected feature meets currently acce	ceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - transition	ns	Inpected feature meets currently acce	ceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail	Inpected feature meets currently acce	ceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends	Inpected feature meets currently acce	ceptable standards. [1]						
Inspection date March 2009	[0309] Des	ignated inspection frequency 24	4 Months						
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ection date						
Fracture critical inspection	Unknown [Y15]	Fracture critical in:	inspection date May 2009 [0509]						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	spection date						