The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							41-45-54 =	080-22-34 = -
Pennsylvania [42]	sylvania [42] Crawford County [039]			Conneautville [15760] CONNEAUTVILLE BOROUGH			41.765000	80.376111
207406038430290 Highway agency district 1		Owner County Highway Agency [02]		Maintenance responsibility (County Highway Agency [02]		
Route 7406 T-384,DEPOT ROAD Toll On free road [3] Features intersected OVER CONNEAUT CREEK								
Design - main Steel [3] Truss - Thru [10]	Design - approach O Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint 0 Year built 1889 Skew angle 0 Historical significance	Structure Flar		determinable at t	nis time. [4]
Total length 23.8 m = 78.1 ft Length of maximum span 21.9 m = 71.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.9 m = 16.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.6 m = 15.1 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.6 m = 15.1 ft			Curb or sidewalk width - left 0.2 m =		= 0.7 ft Curb or sidewa		alk width - right	0.2 m = 0.7 ft
Deck structure type Wood or Timber [8]								
Type of wearing surface Wood or Timber [7]								
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wearing surface								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]		ventory rating 1	9.1 metric ton = 2	11.0 tons		
0.5 km = 0.3 mi Method to determine operating rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]		Operating rating 29.9 metric ton = 32.9 tons		2.9 tons		
Bridge posting 20.0 - 29.9 % below [2]			De	Design Load M 13.5 / H 15 [2]				

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 250 Average daily truc	k traffi % Year 2006 Future average daily traffic 350 Year 2026							
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 3.7 m = 12.1 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 10 m = 32.8 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fea	ture not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right $0 = N/A$	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0							
	Length of structure improvement 30 m = 98.4 ft Total project cost 1000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2002							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5] Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]				
Condition ratings - superstructur	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment					
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry					
Scour	Bridge is scour critical; bridge	foundations determined	to be unstable. [:	[3]			
Channel and channel protection Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major channel. [5]					amage. Trees and rush restrict the		
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present desirable cr	iteria [8]	St	Status evaluation Functionally obsolete [2]			
Pier or abutment protection			Sı	ufficiency rating	48.9		
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings							
Traffic safety features - transition	ns						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail						
Traffic safety features - approach	h guardrail ends						
Inspection date May 2008 [0	Designated inspe	ection frequency 24	Mon	nths			
Underwater inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Underwater inspe		ction date May 2008 [0508]			
•	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in:	•	May 2008 [0508			
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date				