The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

| Basic Information | | | | | | | 40-22-18 = | 080-21-54 = - |
|---|----------------------|--------------------------|--|---|-------------------------------|--|--------------------------|---------------|
| Pennsylvania [42] | Washington County [1 | 125] | Smith [71288] | 300' WEST OF SR 4075 | | | 40.371667 | 80.365000 |
| 627224082140280 | Highway agency | y district 12 | Owner County Highway | y Agency [02] | Maintenance res | sponsibility | County Highway A | gency [02] |
| Route #Num! KEYS BRIDGE TR 821 Toll On free road [3] Features intersected RACCOON CREEK | | | | | | | | |
| Design - Steel [3] main 1 Truss - Thru | [10] | Design - approach Other | [00] | Kilometerpoint 0 Year built 1903 Skew angle 0 Historical significance | Structure Flare | | 000] t determinable at t | his time. [4] |
| Total length 18.6 m = 61.0 ft Length of maximum span 17.7 m = 58.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.2 m = 13.8 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 3.7 m = 12.1 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 3.7 m = 12.1 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft | | | | | | | | |
| Deck structure type Wood or Timber [8] | | | | | | | | |
| Type of wearing surface Deck protection | | | | | | | | |
| Type of membrane/wearing surface | | | | | | | | |
| Weight Limits | | | | | | | | |
| Bypass, detour length 1 km = 0.6 mi Method to determine inventory rating Method to determine operating rating | | | Load Factor(LF) [1] Load Factor(LF) [1] | | | 6 metric ton = 4. 4 metric ton = 7. | | |
| Bridge posting | | | | De | Design Load M 13.5 / H 15 [2] | | | |

| Functional Details | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Average Daily Traffic 80 Average daily true | ck traffi 0 % Year 1993 Future average daily traffic 80 Year 1993 | | | | | | | |
| Road classification Minor Arterial (Rural) [06] | Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 3 m = 9.8 ft | | | | | | | |
| Type of service on bridge Highway [1] | Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median | | | | | | | |
| Parallel structure designation No parallel structure | exists. [N] | | | | | | | |
| Type of service under bridge Waterway [5] | Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control | | | | | | | |
| Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A | Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A | | | | | | | |
| Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 10 m = 32.8 ft | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N] | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N] | | | | | | | | |
| Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N] | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Repair and Replacement Plans | | | | | | | | |
| Type of work to be performed | Work done by Work to be done by contract [1] | | | | | | | |
| Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial | Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0 | | | | | | | |
| bridge roadway geometry. [31] | Length of structure improvement 25 m = 82.0 ft Total project cost 0 | | | | | | | |
| | Year of improvement cost estimate | | | | | | | |
| | Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state | | | | | | | |
| | Border bridge - structure number | | | | | | | |

| Inspection and Sufficiency | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Structure status Posted for lo | ad [P] | Appraisal ratings - structural | Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2] | | | | | | |
| Condition ratings - superstructur | Serious [3] | Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment | Meets minimum tolerable limits | s to be left in place as is [4] | | | | | |
| Condition ratings - substructure | Poor [4] | Appraisal ratings - | Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4] | | | | | | |
| Condition ratings - deck | Satisfactory [6] | deck geometry | | | | | | | |
| Scour | Bridge foundations determine required. [4] | Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour conditions; field review indicates action is required. [4] | | | | | | | |
| Channel and channel protection | Bank protection is being erod channel. [5] | Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5] | | | | | | | |
| Appraisal ratings - water adequad | Better than present minimum | criteria [7] | Status evaluation | Structurally deficient [1] | | | | | |
| Pier or abutment protection | | | | 21.5 | | | | | |
| Culverts Not applicable. Used | if structure is not a culvert. [N] | | | | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features - railings | | | | | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features - transition | ns | | | | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features - approach | h guardrail | | | | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features - approach | h guardrail ends | | | | | | | | |
| Inspection date August 2009 [0809] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months | | | | | | | | | |
| Underwater inspection | Not needed [N] | Underwater inspection date | | | | | | | |
| Fracture critical inspection Not needed [N] | | Fracture critical inspection date | | | | | | | |
| Other special inspection | Not needed [N] | Other special insp | ection date | | | | | | |