The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							40-48-41 =	079-31-27 = -
Pennsylvania [42]	nsylvania [42] Armstrong County [005]			Kittanning [40040] KITTANNING CITIZENS			40.811389	79.524167
031038006000800 Highway agency district 10			Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility			State Highway Ag	ency [01]	
Route #Num! SR1038 Toll On free road [3] Feature						cted ALLEGHEN	Y RIVER	
Design - Steel [3] main  Truss - Thru	[10]	Design - approach  Steel	[3] ger/Multi-beam or girder [02]	Skew angle	931 Year re 0 Structure F	constructed N/A	[0000]	
Historical significance  Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5]  Total length 289.3 m = 949.2 ft  Length of maximum span 123.7 m = 405.9 ft  Deck width, out-to-out 14.9 m = 48.9 ft  Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5]  Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 9.3 m = 30.5 ft  Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 9.1 m = 29.9 ft  Curb or sidewalk width - left 2.8 m = 9.2 ft  Curb or sidewalk width - right 2.8 m = 9.2 ft								
Deck structure type  Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]								
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete (c			te (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]					
Deck protection Epoxy Coated Reinfo		forcing [1]						
Type of membrane/wea	aring surface							
Weight Limits								
		rmine inventory rating	ventory rating Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inventory rating	40.8 metric ton :	= 44.9 tons	
1.6 km = 1.0 mi	1.6 km = 1.0 mi  Method to determine operating rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]		Operating rating	Operating rating 68.9 metric ton = 75.8 tor		
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]				Design Load M 13.5 / H 15 [2]				

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 15665 Average daily to	uck traffi 7 % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic	27324 Year 2022					
Road classification Other Principal Arterial (Urban)	[14] Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 9.1 m = 29.9 ft					
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median					
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control	Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]					
Navigation vertical clearanc 9.1 m = 29.9 ft	Navigation horizontal clearance 106.7 m = 350	0.1 ft					
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  4 m = 13.1 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	eature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A  Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference featu	re Feature not a highway or railroad [N]					
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]						
Other structural work, including hydraulic replacements. [38]	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway impro	rovement cost 1000					
replacements. [66]	Length of structure improvement 294.1 m = 964.9 ft Total	tal project cost 5000					
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2002						
	Border bridge - state Border	ler bridge - percent responsibility of other state					
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	triction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - superstructur Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]					
Condition ratings - deck Fair [5]		deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]						
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being erodechannel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Equal to present desirable cri	iteria [8]	S	Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]			
Pier or abutment protection	None present but re-evaluation	None present but re-evaluation suggested [5]			48			
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transitions								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail ends							
Inspection date								
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspec		August 2009 [08	809]			
L	Every year [Y12]	Fracture critical ins	•	July 2009 [0709	)]			
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date						