The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							39-51-37 =	079-56-55 = -	
Pennsylvania [42]	Greene County [059	]	Cumberland [17648] MC		MONONGAHELA TOWNSHIP		39.860278	79.948611	
301016001006700 Highway agency district 12			Owner State Highway	wner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility			State Highway Ag	ency [01]	
Route 0 SR 1016			Toll On fr	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected LITTLE WH			ITELEY CREEK		
Design - Concrete [1] main  Tee beam [04]	1]	Design - approach  Other	r [00]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1920 Skew angle 0 Historical significan	Structure F		[0000] ne NRHP. [5]		
Total length 13.7 m = 44.9 ft Length of maximum span 13.1 m = 43.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 8 m = 26.2 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 7.2 m = 23.6 ft									
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 7.2 m = 23.6 ft		Curb or sidewalk v	Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or side		walk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft			
Deck structure type	C	Concrete Cast-in-Pla	ce [1]						
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]		Bituminous [6]							
Deck protection									
Type of membrane/wear	ring surface								
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inventory rating	37.2 metric ton =	= 40.9 tons		
0.1 km = 0.1 mi  Method to determine operating rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]		Operating rating	g rating 61.7 metric ton = 67.9 tons				
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]					Design Load M	13.5 / H 15 [2]			

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 52 Average daily to	ruck traffi 9 % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 305 Year 2013								
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 6.7 m = 22.0 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  10 m = 32.8 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A  Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Other structural work, including hydraulic replacements. [38]	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0								
replacements. [50]	Length of structure improvement 21 m = 68.9 ft Total project cost 0								
	Year of improvement cost estimate								
	Border bridge - state  Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no restriction [A]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	ndition ratings - superstructur Serious [3]		Better than present minimum criteria [7]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]						
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry	12 [0]						
Scour	Bridge foundations determined	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection	Bank and embankment protect debris are in the channel. [4]	Bank and embankment protection is severely undermined. River control devices have severe damage. Large deposits of debris are in the channel. [4]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Better than present minimum	criteria [7]	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection				51					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transitions									
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail									
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date September 2008 [0908] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection Not needed [N] Underwater inspection date									
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical inspection date							
Other special inspection  Not needed [N] Other special inspection date									