HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Inform	nation													41-42-51 =	080-08-50) _
Pennsylvania [42] Crawford County [039]				Saegertown [67120] JUST OUTSIDE SAEGERTOWN						41.714167	80.14722					
13554 Highway			ny agenc	jency district 1		Owner	Owner County Highway Agency [02]		2]	Mair	Maintenance responsibility		ility	County Highway Agency [02]		
Route 7409 T-965			T-962,	JORDAN I	RIVE		Toll On free road [3] Features intersected OVER FR			R FRENCI	H CREEK					
main	eel [3] uss - Thru	[10]		Design - approach	Other	r [00]		Kilometerp Year built Skew angl Historical	#Num	Sti	Year recructure F	L		determinable a	at this time. [4]	
Total length	62.8 m =	206.0 ft	Len	gth of max	mum sp	an 61.9 m	= 203.1 ft			o-out 4.9					to-curb 4.6 m =	15.1 ft
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.6 I			4.6 m = 1	m = 15.1 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left $0.2 r$				0.2 m =	= 0.7 ft		Curb	or sidewal	lk width - right	0.2 m = 0.7	7 ft	
Deck structure	re type		W	ood or Tim	ber [8]											
Type of wearing surface			W	Wood or Timber [7]												
Deck protection	ion															
Type of meml	ibrane/wea	aring surface														
Weight Limit	ts															
			determi	ermine inventory rating			Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		2] Inve		ry rating 6 m	6 metric to	metric ton = 6.6 tons	ons		
0.1 km = 0.1 mi Metho		Method to	Method to determine operating rating			Allo	Allowable Stress(AS)		[2]		rating rating	10 metric ton = 1	ton = 11.0	1.0 tons		
Bridge posting									Design Load							

Functional Details	
Average Daily Traffic 250 Average daily tra	uck traffi % Year 2010 Future average daily traffic 309 Year 2032
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 5.2 m = 17.1 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	dge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 2 m = 6.6 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	eature not a highway or railroad [N]
Minimum lateral underclearance on right $0 = N/A$	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]	
Repair and Replacement Plans	
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 78 m = 255.9 ft Total project cost 2000
	Year of improvement cost estimate
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number

Inspection and Sufficiency										
Structure status Posted for lo	oad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]							
Condition ratings - superstructur	Serious [3]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to pre	sent minimum crite	ria [6]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically into	igh priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Poor [4]	deck geometry								
Scour	Bridge foundations deterr required. [4]	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour conditions; field review indicates action is required. [4]								
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]								
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Better than present minin	num criteria [7]	S	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection					20.6					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings										
Traffic safety features - transition	ns									
Traffic safety features - approach	h guardrail									
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends										
Inspection date August 2011	Designated in	nspection frequency 24	Mor	nths						
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspe	ction date							
Fracture critical inspection	Unknown [Y06]	Fracture critical in	spection date	September 200	8 [0908]					
Other special inspection	Unknown [Y06]	Other special insp	ection date	September 2010	0 [0910]					