HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation							32-45-31.08 =	097-20-01.82
Texas [48	3]	Tarrant County	(439]	Fort Worth [27000]	0.2 MI N WEATHERF	ORD ST.		32.758633	= -97.333839
2220000	1401325	Highway	agency district: 2	Owner State Highway	Agency [01]	Maintenance re	esponsibility	City or Municipal H	ighway Agency [04]
Route 28	87		BU 287P(N MAIN ST)	Toll On fro	ee road [3] F	eatures intersecte	ed TRINITY RIV	/ER	
Design - main	Concrete [1] Arch - Deck		approach	ncrete [1] ch - Deck [11]	Kilometerpoint 279 Year built 1914	90.2 km = 1729.9 Year reco	mi nstructed N/A [0000]	
3	AICH - Deck	[11]	IS AIC	ar- Deck [11]	Skew angle 0 Historical significance	Structure Flan	on the NRHP. [1]	
Total leng	th 402 m =	1319.0 ft	Length of maximum	span 68.6 m = 225.1 ft	Deck width, out-to-or	ut 21.3 m = 69.9 f	ft Bridge road	way width, curb-to-cu	urb 16.5 m = 54.1 ft
Inventory	Route, Total	Horizontal Clea	16.5 m = 54.1	ft Curb or sidewalk w	vidth - left $2.4 \text{ m} = 7.9$	9 ft	Curb or side	walk width - right	2.4 m = 7.9 ft
Deck struc	cture type		Concrete Cast-in-F	Place [1]					
Type of we	earing surfac	е	Bituminous [6]						
Deck prote	ection		Unknown [8]						
Type of m	nembrane/wea	aring surface	Unknown [8]						
Weight Li	imits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine invent			determine inventory rati	ng Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inv	Inventory rating 32.7 metric ton = 36.0 tons			
1 km = 0.	.6 mi	Method to d	determine operating rati	ng Load Factor(LF) [1]	Ор	erating rating 4	14.4 metric ton =	= 48.8 tons	
		Bridge posti	ing Equal to or abov	e legal loads [5]	De	sign Load			

Functional Details										
Average Daily Traffic 13680 Average daily tr	uck traffi 10 % Year 2013 Future average daily traffic 19160 Year 2033									
Road classification Other Principal Arterial (Urban)	[14] Lanes on structure 4 Approach roadway width 16.5 m = 54.1 ft									
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median									
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]										
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control									
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A										
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft										
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A										
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]										
Repair and Replacement Plans										
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]									
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 2085000 Roadway improvement cost 521000									
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 412.4 m = 1353.1 ft Total project cost 2606000									
	Year of improvement cost estimate									
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state									
	Border bridge - structure number									

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	triction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - superstructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment		n present minimum criteria [7]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets mini	imum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]				
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge is scour critical; brid	Bridge is scour critical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3]						
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Superior to present desira	ble criteria [9]		Status evaluation				
Pier or abutment protection				Sufficiency rating 75				
Culverts Not applicable. Used i	f structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings	Inpected t	eature meets currently acce	ure meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - transition			re meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail Inpected t	eature meets currently acce	ture meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail ends Inpected f	eature meets currently acce	re meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Inspection date March 2018 [Designated in	spection frequency 24	on frequency 24 Months					
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	own [Y60] Underwater inspec		August 2014 [0814]				
·	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins	•					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date					